02:31PM 1	
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4	SAN JOSE DIVISION
5	
6	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) CR-18-00258-EJD )
7	PLAINTIFF, ) ) SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA
8	VS. ) JANUARY 13, 2020 ELIZABETH A. HOLMES AND RAMESH ) 2:30 P.M.
9	SUNNY BALWANI, ) PAGES 1 - 79
10	DEFENDANTS. ) <b>SEALED PROCEEDINGS</b> )
11	
12	TRANSCRIPT OF SEALED PROCEEDINGS
13	BEFORE THE HONORABLE EDWARD J. DAVILA UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14	ONTIED STATES DISTATET GODGE
15	APPEARANCES:
16	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE BY: JOHN C. BOSTIC
17	JEFFREY B. SCHENK  JEFF NEDROW
18	150 ALMADEN BOULEVARD, SUITE 900 SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95113
19	·
20	BY: ROBERT S. LEACH  VANESSA BAEHR-JONES
21	1301 CLAY STREET, SUITE 340S OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94612
22	(APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON THE NEXT PAGE.)
23	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER:
24	IRENE L. RODRIGUEZ, CSR, RMR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 8074
25	PROCEEDINGS RECORDED BY MECHANICAL STENOGRAPHY TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED WITH COMPUTER

1		
2	<u>APPEARANCES:</u>	(CONT'D)
3	FOR DEFENDANT HOLMES:	WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP
4		BY: KEVIN M. DOWNEY  LANCE A. WADE  KATHERINE A. TREFZ
5		725 TWELFTH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005
6		LAW OFFICE OF JOHN D. CLINE
7		BY: JOHN D. CLINE ONE EMBARCADERO CENTER, SUITE 500
8		SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111
9	FOR DEFENDANT BALWANI:	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP BY: WALTER F. BROWN, JR.
10		RANDALL LUSKEY THE ORRICK BUILDING
11		405 HOWARD STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105
12	TELEPHONICALLY:	BY: JEFFREY COOPERSMITH
13	IBBLIIONIOIBBI:	AMANDA MCDOWELL
14		
15	ALSO PRESENT:	MARIO SCUSSEL MATT NORFLEET
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 1 JANUARY 13, 2020 2 PROCEEDINGS (COURT CONVENED AT 2:39 P.M.) 3 02:39PM 4 THE COURT: THANK YOU. WE ARE BACK ON THE RECORD IN 02:39PM 18-258, UNITED STATES VERSUS HOLMES AND BALWANI. ALL PARTIES 02:39PM 5 PREVIOUSLY PRESENT ARE PRESENT ONCE AGAIN. 6 02:39PM WE ARE PROCEEDING IN A SEALED COURTROOM. THE COURT HAS 02:39PM SEALED THE COURTROOM FOR PURPOSES OF THIS DISCUSSION. 8 02:39PM I HAVE SEALED THE COURTROOM FROM THE PUBLIC BECAUSE I'M 9 02:39PM 10 GOING TO ASK YOU QUESTIONS ABOUT DOCUMENTS THAT HAVE BEEN FILED 02:39PM UNDER SEAL, AND I HAVE PROVISIONALLY GRANTED THE SEALING OF 02:39PM 11 02:39PM 12 CERTAIN DOCUMENTS, MANY DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL. 13 I WANT TO HAVE A CONVERSATION ABOUT THOSE DOCUMENTS. 02:39PM 14 INVARIABLY WE'LL PROBABLY DISCUSS THE CONTENTS OF SOME OF THOSE 02:39PM SEALED DOCUMENTS. AND FOR THAT REASON, TO CONTINUE WITH THE 15 02:40PM 16 PROTECTIONS AS INDICATED WHEN I SIGNED THE SEALING ORDERS, I 02:40PM 17 WANT TO PROTECT ALL OF THOSE ISSUES RAISED IN THOSE PLEADINGS 02:40PM 18 AND THAT ORDER SO I'M CLOSING THE COURTROOM NOW. 02:40PM 02:40PM 19 PRESENT ARE, AS I INDICATED, ALL PARTIES PREVIOUSLY 20 PRESENT. I THINK THERE ARE OTHER INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE ATTACHED 02:40PM 2.1 TO THE GOVERNMENT. 02:40PM 22 MR. LEACH: YOUR HONOR, THERE ARE THREE GENTLEMEN ON 02:40PM THE LEFT SIDE OF THE COURTROOM HERE. ONE IS SPECIAL AGENT 23 02:40PM 24 MARIO SCUSSEL, THE SECOND IS POSTAL INSPECTOR MATT NORFLEET --02:40PM THE COURT: -- AND THERE'S A GENTLEMAN ALL OF THE 25 02:40PM

02:40PM	1	WAY IN THE BACK. WHO IS THAT?
02:40PM	2	MR. LEACH: YES, THAT APPEARS TO BE JEFF NEDROW FROM
02:40PM	3	THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.
02:40PM	4	THE COURT: OH, I SEE. THANK YOU.
02:40PM	5	WE WERE GOING TO CAPTURE, I THINK, MR. CAZARES, YOUR
02:40PM	6	CLIENT'S APPEARANCE TELEPHONICALLY. HAS THAT BEEN DONE?
02:40PM	7	MR. CAZARES: YES, YOUR HONOR, AND I DO BELIEVE THAT
02:40PM	8	MR. BALWANI AND MR. KATZ ARE ON THE LINE.
02:40PM	9	THE COURT: THANK YOU. MR. COOPERSMITH, ARE YOU
02:40PM	10	THERE?
02:40PM	11	MR. COOPERSMITH: YES, YOUR HONOR, I AM HERE WITH
02:40PM	12	MR. BALWANI AND MY COLLEAGUE, AMANDA MCDOWELL, AS WELL.
02:41PM	13	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
02:41PM	14	AND YOU'RE IN A SECURED FACILITY, IF YOU WILL, SUCH THAT
02:41PM	15	THE PUBLIC IS NOT ABLE TO ACCESS THE CONVERSATION?
02:41PM	16	MR. COOPERSMITH: YES, YOUR HONOR.
02:41PM	17	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. COULD YOUR
02:41PM	18	CLIENT STATE HIS APPEARANCE, PLEASE.
02:41PM	19	DEFENDANT BALWANI: YES, YOUR HONOR. SUNNY BALWANI
02:41PM	20	PRESENT ALSO.
02:41PM	21	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. AND,
02:41PM	22	MR. CAZARES, YOU RECOGNIZE THAT VOICE AS YOUR CLIENT'S?
02:41PM	23	MR. CAZARES: YES, YOUR HONOR.
02:41PM	24	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
02:41PM	25	I WANTED TO DISCUSS FIRST OF ALL, WE HAD A SIDE-BAR

1 02:41PM 2 02:41PM 3 02:41PM 02:41PM 4 02:41PM 5 6 02:41PM 02:41 PM 8 02:41PM 9 02:42PM 10 02:42PM 02:42PM 11 12 02:42PM 13 02:42PM 14 02:42PM 15 02:42PM 16 02:42PM 17 02:42PM 18 02:42PM 02:42PM 19 20 02:42PM 2.1 02:42PM 22 02:43PM 23 02:43PM 24 02:43PM

25

02:43PM

CONFERENCE, AND I INVITED COUNSEL TO COME THIS AFTERNOON TO CONTINUE OUR DISCUSSIONS.

I MENTIONED IN THAT SIDE-BAR, AND FIRST OF ALL, THAT

TRANSCRIPT WILL BE SEALED AS WELL AS THIS TRANSCRIPT OF THIS

PROCEEDING WILL BE SEALED AND NOT TO BE RELEASED ABSENT FURTHER

ORDER OF THE COURT.

I MENTIONED AT THE OUTSET THAT I WAS CONCERNED ABOUT THE NUMBER OF SEALED DOCUMENTS, AND THERE ARE MANY. WE HAD OCCASION TO DRAFT OR COLLECT A ROSTER, IF YOU WILL, OF SOME OF THESE DOCUMENTS, AND WE PROVIDED THAT. I WANTED YOU TO HAVE BOTH -- BOTH SIDES TO HAVE THIS. THEY HAVE SEALED DOCKET ENTRY NUMBERS, THAT IS, THE DOCKET NUMBER, THE ECF NUMBER, BECAUSE I THINK WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE DOCKET ON THE COMPUTER ON ECF, OF COURSE THERE'S NO DOCUMENT NUMBER RELATED.

AND I DON'T KNOW -- IT CAUSED ME TO THINK THAT WHEN YOU GET YOUR COPIES BACK, THEY MAY NOT HAVE A DOCKET NUMBER ON THEM, AND I THOUGHT IF WE HAD A LINE-UP CARD, IT WOULD BE EASIER TO IDENTIFY WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT.

I ASKED MS. KRATZMANN TO SUPPLY EACH OF YOU WITH A COPY OF THIS ROSTER FOR YOUR USE AS WELL AS A COPY OF DOCUMENTS THAT EACH OF YOU HAVE PROVIDED TO THE COURT SUCH THAT WE'RE LITERALLY ON THE SAME PAGE OR PAGES AND WE KNOW WHAT IS PRESENT.

AS I UNDERSTAND IT, I'M MISSING PERHAPS THREE DOCUMENTS.

AND I THINK YOU HAVE MET AND CONFERRED, AND I'M LOOKING AT A

SHEET THAT PERHAPS ONE OF YOU OR PERHAPS ALL OF YOU PREPARED 1 02:43PM FOR MS. KRATZMANN. 2 02:43PM THERE'S A MISSING PROFFER, A MISSING JOINDER TO 3 02:43PM 4 MR. BALWANI'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION, AND I'M MISSING AN 02:43PM OPPOSITION TO A REPLY I THINK. 02:43PM 5 I'M NOT CERTAIN WHAT HAPPENED THERE. I DON'T KNOW IF 02:43PM THAT'S A VENDOR ISSUE. AGAIN, I DON'T WANT TO POINT BLAME. 02:43PM I'D LIKE TO FIND SOLUTIONS TO THAT. 8 02:43PM 9 MR. WADE: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. LANCE WADE FOR 02:43PM MS. HOLMES. WE APPRECIATE THE LIST FROM THE COURT. IT IS A 10 02:43PM LITTLE BIT OF A BLACK BOX WHEN WE SUBMIT THESE SEALED 02:43PM 11 12 PLEADINGS, AND IT'S HARD TO SEE WHAT HAS BEEN RECEIVED AND WHAT 02:43PM 13 IS NOT, PARTICULARLY GIVEN THAT WE'VE DONE IT THROUGH A VENDOR. 02:44PM 14 MANY OF THE PLEADINGS HAVE GONE THROUGH. IT APPEARS THAT 02:44PM SOME HAVE NOT, AND WE'VE WORKED WITH MS. KRATZMANN TO TRY AND 15 02:44PM 16 IDENTIFY THOSE PLEADINGS THAT WERE NOT ON THE LIST, AND WE HAVE 02:44PM 17 NOW SENT THOSE ELECTRONICALLY TO THE COURT. 02:44PM 18 ONE OF THOSE PLEADINGS IS AN EX PARTE. WE DID NOT COPY 02:44PM 02:44PM 19 THE GOVERNMENT ON THAT SUBMISSION THROUGH MS. KRATZMANN. 20 DID COPY THE GOVERNMENT ON THE OTHER PLEADINGS AND HAVE 02:44PM 2.1 PREVIOUSLY SERVED THE GOVERNMENT WITH ALL OF THE OTHER 02:44PM 22 PLEADINGS. 02:44PM GOING FORWARD, WE INTEND -- IN CASE IT IS AN ERROR ON OUR 23 02:44PM VENDOR'S PART, WE INTEND TO FIND A NEW VENDOR REGARDLESS, 24 02:44PM 25 AND --02:44PM

1 THE COURT: PERHAPS THE FDA CAN HELP YOU WITH THAT. 02:44PM MR. WADE: THEY SEEM TO BE CONSULTING A LOT OF THIRD 2 02:44PM PARTY SERVICE PROVIDERS SO MAYBE WE'LL CONSULT WITH THEM. 3 02:44PM 4 (LAUGHTER.) 02:44PM 5 MR. WADE: BUT WE'LL RETAIN A NEW VENDOR AND GOING 02:44PM FORWARD WE WILL ALSO PLAN TO SEND MS. KRATZMANN AN ELECTRONIC 6 02:45PM COPY AT THE SAME TIME THAT WE FILE THE DOCUMENT VIA E-MAIL 02:45PM COPYING COUNSEL FOR THE GOVERNMENT JUST TO MAKE SURE THAT 8 02:45PM 9 THERE'S NO MISCOMMUNICATION ON THIS PART. 02:45PM THE COURT: THANK YOU FOR THAT. AND THAT WOULD BE 10 02:45PM VERY HELPFUL TO THE COURT. 02:45PM 11 12 I DIDN'T REALIZE THE VOLUME OF SEALED DOCUMENTS THAT WE'D 02:45PM 13 BE RECEIVING AND IT CREATES -- I WILL TELL YOU, WE WERE PUTTING 02:45PM 14 THIS TOGETHER, AND IT WAS REMINISCENT OF A 3D CHESS GAME TRYING 02:45PM TO CAPTURE WHAT GOES WITH WHAT. 15 02:45PM 16 SO I HOPE GOING FORWARD WE CAN CONTINUE TO UPDATE THIS 02:45PM 17 ROSTER AND AS WE -- IF THERE ARE ANY SEALED DOCUMENTS THAT ARE 02:45PM 18 GOING TO BE FILED, WE'RE PROBABLY REACHING THE END OF THAT 02:45PM 02:45PM 19 PRETTY SOON, BUT IF THERE ARE ANY, WE'LL TRY TO KEEP THE DOCKET 20 NUMBERS IN SOME TYPE OF CONTINUOUS CAPTURING SO WE CAN ALL KEEP 02:45PM 2.1 OUR EYES ON THAT. 02:45PM 22 THERE ARE SOME DOCUMENTS WE'RE STILL WORKING ON THAT I 02:46PM SHOULD TELL YOU I HAVE GOT THE CLERK'S OFFICE LOOKING AT SOME 23 02:46PM 24 OTHER DOCUMENTS THAT HAVE NOT BEEN ECF NUMBERED FOR SOME 02:46PM 25 REASON, BUT WE'LL CAPTURE ALL OF THAT SOON. 02:46PM

02:46PM	1	MR. WADE: AND OF COURSE IT'S POSSIBLE THOSE
02:46PM	2	DOCUMENTS WERE SOME OF THE DOCUMENTS THAT WERE MISSING. IT'S
02:46PM	3	HARD TO FIGURE OUT EXACTLY WHAT IS GOING ON.
02:46PM	4	OBVIOUSLY TO THE EXTENT THAT THIS RESPONSIBILITY FOR THIS
02:46PM	5	ULTIMATELY RESTS WITH US AS OFFICERS OF THE COURT TO GET THE
02:46PM	6	COURT THE NECESSARY FILING, SO WE APOLOGIZE TO THE COURT FOR
02:46PM	7	ANY
02:46PM	8	THE COURT: THANK YOU. WE'RE ALL GOING THROUGH IT
02:46PM	9	TOGETHER, AND I JUST WANT TO CARVE OUT THIS TIME SO WE CAN
02:46PM	10	RESOLVE THIS AS BEST WE CAN.
02:46PM	11	MR. LEACH: YOUR HONOR, IF I MAY? THANK YOU. THE
02:46PM	12	GOVERNMENT HAS ALSO REVIEWED THE LIST THAT MS. KRATZMANN
02:46PM	13	PROVIDED, AND IT WAS VERY HELPFUL. THANK YOU.
02:46PM	14	I BELIEVE THE GOVERNMENT'S SUBMISSIONS ARE ALL INCLUDED ON
02:46PM	15	THIS LIST. WE'LL KEEP LOOKING AT IT TO MAKE SURE, BUT WE
02:46PM	16	DIDN'T SEE ANYTHING MISSING.
02:46PM	17	THE COURT: GREAT.
02:46PM	18	MR. LEACH: THERE WERE TWO OTHER BRIEF
02:46PM	19	PRELIMINARIES. THERE'S A DOJ POLICY AGAINST CONSENTING TO
02:46PM	20	SEALING OF THE COURTROOM, AND I KNOW THE COURT HAS MADE A
02:47PM	21	DECISION ON THIS, BUT IT'S IMPORTANT FROM MY PERSPECTIVE TO
02:47PM	22	PRESERVE OUR OBJECTION TO THE RECORD FOR THAT.
02:47PM	23	THE COURT: OF COURSE.
02:47PM	24	MR. LEACH: I ALSO THINK THAT IN LIGHT OF SOME OF
02:47PM	25	THE TOPICS THAT WE MIGHT GET INTO, IT'S IMPORTANT THAT

02:47PM	1	MR. BALWANI BE REQUESTED TO SUBMIT A WRITTEN WAIVER OF HIS
02:47PM	2	APPEARANCE TODAY IN CASE ANY OF THE SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES RELATED
02:47PM	3	TO SOME OF THE FILINGS COME UP, AND I THINK IT WOULD BE PRUDENT
02:47PM	4	FOR THE COURT TO ASK FOR THAT.
02:47PM	5	THE COURT: MR. CAZARES, CAN YOU ARRANGE FOR THAT
02:47PM	6	WITH MR. COOPERSMITH?
02:47PM	7	MR. CAZARES: OF COURSE, YOUR HONOR.
02:47PM	8	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. ANY OBJECTION TO THAT,
02:47PM	9	MR. COOPERSMITH, FOR YOUR CLIENT?
02:47PM	10	MR. COOPERSMITH: NO, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU.
02:47PM	11	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. SO WE'LL PROCEED
02:47PM	12	WITH THE SEALED DOCUMENTS AS WE'VE TALKED ABOUT HERE, AND THANK
02:47PM	13	YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION TO THAT.
02:47PM	14	LET ME MOVE TO A QUESTION THAT I HAVE ABOUT THE SEVERANCE
02:48PM	15	AND MR. BALWANI'S SEVERANCE MOTION.
02:48PM	16	IS THE GOVERNMENT'S OPPOSITION TO THAT DUE TODAY?
02:48PM	17	MS. BAEHR-JONES: YOUR HONOR, IF I COULD ADDRESS THE
02:48PM	18	GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED SCHEDULE FOR THIS?
02:48PM	19	THE COURT: WELL, LET ME IS IT DUE TODAY?
02:48PM	20	MS. BAEHR-JONES: WELL, YOUR HONOR, BASED ON WHAT
02:48PM	21	THEY NOTICED IN THE FILING, THEY NOTICED THE FEBRUARY 10TH
02:48PM	22	HEARING WITH THE OPPOSITION DATE DUE TODAY, WHICH IS WHY THE
02:48PM	23	GOVERNMENT FILED ITS ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION AS SOON AS WE WERE
02:48PM	24	ABLE TO RESPOND TO THAT TO SET FORTH SOME PROPOSED DATES FOR
02:48PM	25	THE COURT IN LIGHT OF WHAT THEY NOTICED.

1 THE COURT: RIGHT. RIGHT. THIS IS REGARDING YOUR 02:48PM REQUEST THAT THE COURT ENGAGE 12.2 PROCEEDINGS NOW. 2 02:48PM 3 MS. BAEHR-JONES: CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. 02:48PM 4 THE COURT: RIGHT. OKAY. SO LET ME JUST -- I HAVE 02:48PM SOME REAL BASIC QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS, AND THEY SOMEWHAT OVERLAP 02:48PM 5 PERHAPS, BUT IT REALLY IS GEARED TOWARDS MS. HOLMES AND HER 02:48PM TABLE. 02:48PM I SUPPOSE A THRESHOLD QUESTION IS, A QUESTION IS WHETHER 8 02:48PM 9 OR NOT MS. HOLMES WILL TESTIFY AT TRIAL AS TO THE FACTS AS 02:49PM 10 INDICATED IN THE UNSWORN DECLARATION OF DR. MECHANIC. 02:49PM I POSE THAT AS A QUESTION BECAUSE I THINK THAT DRIVES, IT 02:49PM 11 12 CERTAINLY DRIVES CERTAIN DECISIONS THAT THE COURT HAS TO MAKE. 02:49PM 13 AGAIN, I'M TALKING BROAD HERE, AND I'M NOT ASKING THE 02:49PM 14 DEFENSE TO ANSWER THIS QUESTION RIGHT NOW, MS. HOLMES'S DEFENSE 02:49PM TEAM TO ANSWER THIS OUESTION, BUT I'M TELLING YOU THAT THESE 15 02:49PM ARE THINGS THAT I THINK ARE VITAL TO THE COURT'S DECISION ABOUT 16 02:49PM 17 WHAT IT'S GOING TO DO IN REGARDS TO SEVERANCE AND WHAT IT'S 02:49PM 18 GOING TO DO IN REGARDS TO THE GOVERNMENT'S MOTION. 02:49PM 02:49PM 19 AND THE QUESTION I HAVE IS THIS, I'M NOT CLEAR FROM THE PLEADINGS, MS. HOLMES'S PLEADINGS, AS TO WHETHER OR NOT 02:49PM 20 2.1 MS. HOLMES WILL BE ADVANCING A DURESS DEFENSE, A DURESS 02:49PM 22 DEFENSE, A PURE DURESS DEFENSE, OR WHETHER SHE'LL BE ADVANCING 02:50PM A MENS REA DEFENSE. AND THOSE ARE, AS YOU KNOW, TWO DIFFERENT 23 02:50PM 24 THINGS. SOMETIMES THEY OVERLAP AND SOMETIMES THEY'RE THE SAME. 02:50PM LET ME TELL YOU MY THOUGHTS ABOUT THIS ARE, AND THIS 25 02:50PM

1 02:50PM 2 02:50PM 3 02:50PM 4 02:50PM 02:50PM 5 02:50PM 02:50PM 8 02:51PM 9 02:51PM 10 02:51PM 02:51PM 11 12 02:51PM 13 02:51PM 14 02:51PM 15 02:51PM 16 02:51PM 17 02:52PM 18 02:52PM 19 02:52PM 20 02:52PM 2.1 02:52PM 22 02:52PM 23 02:52PM 24 02:52PM

25

02:52PM

RELATES TO THE SEVERANCE MOTION, IF MS. HOLMES IS GOING TO
TESTIFY ABOUT CONDUCT AS INDICATED IN THE UNSWORN DECLARATION
OF THE DOCTOR, IF SHE TESTIFIES ABOUT THAT HERSELF, QUERY DO WE
NEED EXPERT TESTIMONY TO SUPPORT SOMETHING, WHICH IS TO SAY IF
SHE TESTIFIES THAT MR. BALWANI ENGAGED IN CONDUCT THAT IS
INDICATED THERE, WHICH SHE COULD DO IF SHE WANTS TO, THAT'S
SOMETHING TO CONSIDER AS TO MR. BALWANI'S SEVERANCE MOTION.

IF, IF AN EXPERT IS GOING TO TESTIFY ABOUT AN EXAMINATION
OF AN INDIVIDUAL, LET ME JUST PUT IT THAT WAY, AN EXAMINATION
OF AN INDIVIDUAL AND THE EXPERT'S TESTING, SCORING, AND OPINION
ABOUT THE TESTING AS TO A CONDITION THAT THIS TESTING SUGGESTS
WITHOUT GETTING INTO THE STATEMENTS THAT THE PATIENT MADE TO
THE EXPERT, THAT'S A WHOLE DIFFERENT THING, ISN'T IT? AND -FOR EXAMPLE, AN EXPERT COULD TESTIFY AND I KNOW THAT X
CONDITION EXISTS; THE MANIFESTATION OF THAT CONDITION ARE THESE
FIVE SYMPTOMS, THESE FIVE THINGS, FOUR THINGS; I ADMINISTERED
MMPI'S; I ADMINISTERED ALL OF THESE TESTING; I SCORED THEM, THE
RESULTS OF THESE INDICATE THAT THIS PERSON MAY HAVE THE
CHARACTERISTICS OF THAT.

YOU CAN'T TESTIFY THAT THIS PERSON IS THAT, BUT MAY BE

ABLE TO TESTIFY THAT THOSE CONDITIONS OF WHATEVER IT IS, MAY

HAVE BEEN MANIFESTED BY THE PATIENT, BY THE WITNESS. THAT'S

SOMETHING THAT IS ENTIRELY DIFFERENT AS WELL.

IT MAY BE THAT AN EXPERT WOULD TESTIFY AS TO SIMILAR CONDITIONS, SIMILAR TESTING TO PERHAPS SUPPORT THE CREDIBILITY

OF A WITNESS WHO WOULD TESTIFY AND SUPPORT THE CREDIBILITY OF A 1 02:52PM WITNESS WHO MIGHT OTHERWISE BE SEEN, WELL, HOW COULD SOMEONE 2 02:52PM STAY IN -- LET'S JUST USE A HYPOTHETICAL -- A DOMESTIC VIOLENCE 3 02:52PM 4 RELATIONSHIP? HOW DO PEOPLE DO THAT? IN A CHILD MOLESTATION 02:53PM SITUATION, HOW COME THE REPORTING DIDN'T HAPPEN SOONER? WHY 02:53PM 5 6 DID THEY WAIT SIX MONTHS? EIGHT YEARS? WELL, WE KNOW THERE'S 02:53PM LITERATURE THAT DESCRIBES THOSE TYPES OF CONDUCT. 02:53PM AN EXPERT MIGHT TESTIFY AS TO WHY SOMEONE WOULD DELAY 8 02:53PM REPORTING OR WHATEVER THE SYMPTOM MIGHT BE. 9 02:53PM SO I'M SPEAKING AT A HIGH LEVEL HERE. I'M NOT ASKING THE 10 02:53PM DEFENSE TO TELL US PUBLICLY TODAY WHAT YOUR DEFENSE IS, UNLESS 02:53PM 11 12 YOU WANT TO DO THAT, BUT I'M SUGGESTING TO YOU THAT THESE ARE 02:53PM 13 ISSUES, I THINK, ARE CRITICAL TO THE 12.2 AND THE SEVERANCE 02:53PM 14 MOTION AS TO MR. BALWANI. 02:53PM AND I'M TRYING TO BE AS TRANSPARENT AS I CAN ABOUT WHAT 15 02:53PM 16 THE COURT'S THINKING IS, BECAUSE THESE ARE ISSUES THAT WILL 02:53PM 17 COME UP. 02:53PM 18 ANOTHER SUB ISSUE ABOUT THIS IS THAT IT APPEARS FROM THE 02:53PM 19 FILINGS FROM MS. HOLMES -- AND LET ME JUST ASK THIS, ALL 02:54PM

PARTIES HAVE THESE FILINGS. MR. BALWANI HAS THESE FILINGS AS WELL. I'M NOT TALKING OUT OF SCHOOL HERE. IS THAT --

MR. DOWNEY: YOUR HONOR, THERE WAS ONE FILING THAT WAS SUBMITTED EX PARTE. I DON'T THINK THE COURT HAD IT SO I DON'T THINK THE COURT HAS IT IN MIND.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.

20

2.1

22

23

24

02:54PM

02:54PM

02:54PM

02:54PM

02:54PM

02:54PM

1 BUT ONE THING THAT DOES COME TO MIND ABOUT THESE IS 02:54PM MS. HOLMES HAS ALREADY BEEN EXAMINED. THERE'S BEEN A REPORT. 2 02:54PM 3 WE HAVE THE UNSWORN DECLARATION AND A QUESTION THAT COMES IN MY 02:54PM 4 MIND IS, WELL, WHY NOT ADVANCE THAT NOW? WHAT IS THE PREJUDICE 02:54PM TO MS. HOLMES TO GO FORWARD WITH THAT EXCHANGE, THAT PROCESS, 02:54PM 5 THE 12.2(B)(1)(C) AND ALL OF THAT? 02:54PM AGAIN, THIS IS RHETORICAL RIGHT NOW, BUT I'M JUST SHARING 02:54PM WITH YOU MY THOUGHTS. 8 02:54PM 9 WHAT IS THE PREJUDICE TO BEGIN THAT PROCESS NOW AS OPPOSED 02:54PM 10 TO WAIT? AGAIN, IT'S TWO LEVELS, SEVERANCE AND THEN TRIAL. 02:55PM 02:55PM 11 AND THE GOVERNMENT SUGGESTS IN THEIR MOTION THAT, WELL, 12 BEFORE YOU CAN DECIDE, JUDGE, WHETHER OR NOT MS. HOLMES'S 02:55PM 13 MOTION FOR SEVERANCE SHOULD BE DECIDED, WE SHOULD BE ABLE TO 02:55PM 14 LOOK AT TESTS AND DO OUR OWN EXAMINATION. 02:55PM 15 AND THAT HAS SOME CURRENCY. I HAVE TO SAY, THAT DOES HAVE 02:55PM 16 SOME CURRENCY. THAT DOES SEEM LIKE THAT IS AN APPROPRIATE WAY 02:55PM 17 TO PROCEED. 02:55PM I GO BACK TO MY EARLIER COMMENTS, THOUGH, ABOUT WHAT THE 18 02:55PM 02:55PM 19 TESTIMONY IS -- MIGHT BE LIKE, AND I KNOW IN THE -- AND THIS IS 20 VERY CAREFUL PLEADING. 02:55PM 21 IN THE PLEADINGS IT'S STATED, THE PLEADINGS THAT I'VE READ 02:55PM STATE, "THE WITNESS MAY TESTIFY, MAY TESTIFY." I REALIZE 22 02:55PM THAT'S VERY CAREFULLY CRAFTED. 23 02:55PM 24 BUT I TELL YOU THAT'S A SIGNIFICANT TERM FOR ME TO DECIDE 02:55PM 25 MR. BALWANI'S MOTION. 02:56PM

1 02:56PM 2 02:56PM 3 02:56PM 4 02:56PM 02:56PM 5 6 02:56PM 02:56PM 8 02:56PM 9 02:56PM 10 02:56PM 02:56PM 11 12 02:56PM 02:56PM 13 14 02:57PM 15 02:57PM 16 02:57PM 17 02:57PM 18 02:57PM 19 02:57PM 02:57PM 20 21 02:57PM 22 02:57PM 23 02:57PM 24 02:57PM 25 02:57PM

FOR EXAMPLE, IF A WITNESS SAID IN A CODEFENDANT SITUATION,

IF THE JUDGE HEARS THAT A WITNESS IS -- I INTEND TO TESTIFY X

ABOUT MY CODEFENDANT, THAT'S A VERY DIFFERENT SITUATION, AND

THE COURT -- AND THAT PUTS THAT SCENARIO IN A DIFFERENT FACTUAL

SITUATION.

WE'VE ALL READ THOSE CASES WHERE THERE'S AN AFFIRMATIVE STATEMENT ABOUT WHAT THE DEFENSE IS AND HOW THE JUDGE SHOULD RULE ON THAT WHEN SHE LOOKS AT THOSE FACTS AND WHETHER SHE SHOULD GRANT A SEVERANCE AT THAT TIME OR WHETHER SHE SHOULD WAIT FOR TRIAL OR WHERE THE BEST DECISION TIME, SHALL WE SAY, WOULD BE.

I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE DECISIONS ON THESE SEVERANCE MOTIONS

EARLY. I JUST THINK THAT IS BETTER FOR YOU AND BETTER FOR THE

COURT. IT WOULD ALLOW YOU TO PREPARE YOUR DEFENSES

ACCORDINGLY, IF THE COURT GRANTS THE MOTION.

IF THE COURT DENIES THE MOTIONS, AT LEAST EVERYBODY KNOWS WHAT THE FIELD IS AND WHAT YOU'RE LOOKING AT, AND MY SENSE IS THAT WILL BENEFIT ALL OF YOU.

I APPRECIATE COUNSEL'S STATEMENTS THIS MORNING WHERE YOU INDICATED THAT YOU WERE EAGER TO GO TO TRIAL AND YOU WANT TO EXONERATE YOUR CLIENT, AND OF COURSE HE ENJOYS THE PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE, BUT YOU WOULD LIKE TO FULLY GET EXONERATION FOR MR. BALWANI, AND I THINK ALL OF US WOULD LIKE TO PROCEED TO TRIAL. THAT'S WHY I SET THE CASE. I THINK I WAS REMINDED I ADVANCED THE TRIAL DATE AS OPPOSED TO GIVING YOU A LITTLE BIT

1 02:57PM 2 02:57PM 3 02:57PM 02:57PM 4 02:58PM 5 6 02:58PM 02:58PM 8 02:58PM 9 02:58PM 10 02:58PM 02:58PM 11 12 02:58PM 13 02:58PM 14 02:58PM 15 02:58PM 16 02:58PM 17 02:58PM 18 02:58PM 02:58PM 19 20 02:58PM 2.1 02:59PM 22 02:59PM 23 02:59PM 24 02:59PM

25

02:59PM

MORE TIME.

AND IN HINDSIGHT I LOOK AT THAT NOW, BUT I'D LIKE TO DO
THAT, TOO. I'D LIKE TO GET THE CASE TO TRIAL AS SOON AS
POSSIBLE FOR ALL OF YOUR SAKES.

SO THOSE ARE QUESTIONS, BUT THOSE ARE THE INITIAL QUESTIONS THAT I HAVE REGARDING THE SEVERANCE MOTIONS.

I THINK THE DISCOVERY ISSUES ABOUT THE FDA, AND LET ME

JUST BE FRANK BECAUSE WE'RE ALL FRIENDS HERE, THE SEARCH FOR

THE FDA ISSUES, I REALIZE THAT'S IMPORTANT INFORMATION FOR THE

DEFENSE, BUT AS I LOOK AT THE PLEADINGS, THAT'S NOT YOUR

PRIMARY DEFENSE. DON'T ANSWER THE QUESTION. I'M JUST OPINING

HERE.

IT APPEARS THAT FROM WHAT I'VE READ IS THAT THE FDA ISSUES
THE REGULATIONS AND THOSE TYPES OF THINGS, THAT'S IMPORTANT, I
REALIZE THAT, BUT I'M NOT CERTAIN THAT'S YOUR CRITICAL DEFENSE
OR NOT. DON'T ANSWER THE QUESTION. I'M NOT ASKING FOR THAT.

BUT WHEN YOU FILED A 12.2 NOTICE, IT SEEMS TO SUGGEST THAT THAT'S WHAT YOU'RE GOING TO PURSUE LOOKING AT HOLMES'S TABLE HERE, PARDON ME.

AND THEN MR. BALWANI PROCEEDED WITH DEPOSITIONS TODAY
WITHOUT A LOT OF THE DOCUMENTS HERE WHICH SUGGESTS TO ME, WELL,
HOW IMPORTANT WERE THEY IF YOU'RE WILLING TO GO TO A DEPOSITION
AND NOT HAVE THEM? SURE, YOU WOULD HAVE LIKED TO HAVE HAD
THEM. I'M SURE MR. COOPERSMITH WOULD HAVE FELT LIKE, WELL,
GEE, IF I HAD THEM, I PERHAPS COULD HAVE DONE A BETTER JOB HERE

OR BEEN INFORMED ABOUT IT.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

02:59PM

03:00PM

BUT I'M NOT MAKING LIGHT OF THE FDA RECORDS. I'M JUST
SUGGESTING THIS IS A WIRE FRAUD CASE, AND IT HAS UNIQUE FACTS
AND CIRCUMSTANCES TO IT. I'M NOT CERTAIN THAT THOSE RECORDS
ARE CRITICAL TO YOUR DEFENSE. I'M NOT CERTAIN THAT THOSE ARE
THE MOST IMPORTANT PIECES OF EVIDENCE THAT'S GOING TO SUPPORT
YOUR CASES OR NOT, AND I'M NOT MAKING ANY JUDGMENT ON IT.

AGAIN, I'M JUST TALKING AT A VERY HIGH LEVEL RIGHT NOW

JUST SO ALL OF YOU KNOW WHAT MY THOUGHT IS AND WHETHER OR NOT

THESE THOUGHTS WILL ASSIST US MOVING FORWARD IN SOME MANNER AND

ASSIST YOU IN SOME MANNER AS YOU PREPARE, AS YOU PREPARE YOUR

CASES.

SO THOSE ARE MY OVERARCHING THOUGHTS ABOUT THE SEVERANCE AND THE GOVERNMENT'S MOTION FOR MORE TIME.

LET ME JUST TELL YOU THIS -- OH, THAT'S OKAY. AND YOU CAN COME FORWARD TO THE LECTERN IF YOU WOULD LIKE.

ONE THING I'M THINKING OF DOING AS TO THE MOTION TO EXTEND TIME, WHAT I'M LIKELY TO DO, AND I'M HAPPY TO HEAR FROM YOU ABOUT THIS, BUT MY SENSE IS THAT I'M INCLINED TO NOT EXTEND TIME BUT NOT TO -- WHAT ARE THE SANCTIONS? YOU KNOW, WHAT ARE THE SANCTIONS WHEN THERE'S FAILURE TO PRODUCE? WELL, IT'S A CONTINUANCE, ISN'T IT? THAT'S REALLY WHAT IT IS. AND IT'S A CONTINUANCE, AND I'M LOATHE TO DO THAT. I AM SURE YOU ALL LOATHE TO CONTINUE THIS, TOO. YOU'VE CHARTERED YOUR LIVES FOR 2020 IN A VERY UNIQUE WAY I'M SURE.

03:00PM	1	SO WHAT I'M INCLINED TO DO AT THIS POINT IS TO NOT EXTEND
03:00PM	2	TIME BUT CONTINUE LOOKING AT THIS, AND WE'LL PROBABLY LOOK AT
03:00PM	3	IT AGAIN FEBRUARY 10TH AND PROBABLY REVISIT WHERE WE ARE.
03:01PM	4	MS. BAEHR-JONES: YOUR HONOR, BECAUSE I THOUGHT IT
03:01PM	5	WOULD BE EASY TO ADDRESS ONE OF YOUR CONCERNS, AND THAT IS THE
03:01PM	6	TIMELINE FOR THE GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED SCHEDULE BECAUSE THE
03:01PM	7	GOVERNMENT IS VERY COMMITTED TO GETTING THINGS DONE QUICKLY AND
03:01PM	8	EFFICIENTLY FOR THE COURT.
03:01PM	9	THIS WAS AN OPTIMISTIC TIMELINE, BUT IT CAN BE TRUNCATED.
03:01PM	10	I'VE DONE THIS IN AS SHORT AS THREE WEEKS A 12.2 BACK AND
03:01PM	11	FORTH.
03:01PM	12	THE COURT: SO YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT THE 12.2
03:01PM	13	SCHEDULE?
03:01PM	14	MS. BAEHR-JONES: SORRY. YES. SO THE GOVERNMENT'S
03:01PM	15	PROPOSED SCHEDULE ON HOW TO ACCOMPLISH JUST SOME OF THE THINGS
03:01PM	16	THAT THE GOVERNMENT THINKS ARE IMPORTANT TO GET A RECORD ON
03:01PM	17	BEFORE THE COURT RULES ON THE MOTIONS TO SEVER BECAUSE
03:01PM	18	THE COURT: TELL ME WHERE YOUR SCHEDULE IS AND WHAT
03:01PM	19	DOCUMENT SO WE CAN ALL GET IT.
03:01PM	20	MS. BAEHR-JONES: OKAY. YES, THIS IS THE
03:01PM	21	GOVERNMENT'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SET DEADLINES OF
03:01PM	22	SCHEDULES AND THE NUMBER IS
03:01PM	23	MR. LEACH: I BELIEVE IT'S DOCKET NUMBER 248, YOUR
03:01PM	24	HONOR.
03:01PM	25	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.

03:01PM	1	MR. LEACH: IT WAS FILED ON DECEMBER 30TH, 2019,
03:01PM	2	AND WELL, LODGED ON DECEMBER 30TH, AND I BELIEVE THE COURT
03:02PM	3	ORDERED IT FILED ON JANUARY 10TH.
03:02PM	4	THE COURT: YES, YES, I HAVE IT.
03:02PM	5	IT'S 246 DID YOU SAY?
03:02PM	6	MR. LEACH: 248.
03:02PM	7	THE COURT: 248. OKAY.
03:02PM	8	YES.
03:02PM	9	MS. BAEHR-JONES: AND THE PROPOSED SCHEDULE IS ON
03:02PM	10	PAGE 2.
03:02PM	11	THE COURT: OKAY. DO WE ALL HAVE THAT? DOES
03:02PM	12	EVERYBODY HAVE THAT?
03:02PM	13	MR. CAZARES: YES, YOUR HONOR.
03:02PM	14	MR. WADE: YES, YOUR HONOR.
03:02PM	15	MS. BAEHR-JONES: SO THIS JUST BUILDS IN QUITE A BIT
03:02PM	16	OF TIME, BUT IT CAN EASILY BE TRUNCATED TO MOVE THIS PROCESS
03:02PM	17	ALONG AND THEN GET THAT TO THE COURT SO THE COURT CAN HAVE A
03:02PM	18	BASIS FOR THE FULL RECORD FOR RULING ON THE MOTIONS TO SEVER
03:02PM	19	EARLIER, WHICH I THINK ALL OF THE PARTIES WOULD BE IN AGREEMENT
03:02PM	20	THAT WE WOULD WANT THAT AS SOON AS WE COULD KNOW TO PREPARE
03:02PM	21	PROPERLY FOR TRIAL.
03:02PM	22	THE COURT: OKAY. MR. WADE OR MR. DOWNEY?
03:02PM	23	MR. DOWNEY: YOUR HONOR, KEVIN DOWNEY FOR
03:02PM	24	MS. HOLMES.
03:03PM	25	I WANT TO ANSWER THE COURT'S QUESTION AS BEST I CAN FOR

PURPOSES OF TODAY. 1 03:03PM THE COURT: SURE. AND LET ME TELL YOU, AGAIN, I'M 2 03:03PM NOT ASKING YOU TO REVEAL ANYTHING YOU DON'T WANT TO REVEAL, 3 03:03PM 03:03PM 4 INCLUDING AND PARTICULARLY ANY DEFENSE THAT YOU FEEL THAT I'M ASKING YOU TO REVEAL TODAY. THAT'S NOT WHAT I'M DOING. I WAS 03:03PM 5 6 MAKING HIGH-LEVEL COMMENTS ABOUT CONSIDERATION FOR THE MOTIONS. 03:03PM MR. DOWNEY: I APPRECIATE THAT, YOUR HONOR. 03:03PM RECOGNIZE THAT PART OF THE INQUIRY THE COURT HAS TO MAKE WHEN 8 03:03PM DECIDING A SEVERANCE MOTION IS HOW LIKELY IS IT THAT THE ISSUE 9 03:03PM THAT IS PRESENTED FOR SEVERANCE WILL ARISE DURING THE TRIAL. 10 03:03PM SO WE THOUGHT ABOUT THAT A GOOD DEAL --03:03PM 11 03:03PM 12 THE COURT: I'M SURE YOU DID. 13 MR. DOWNEY: -- BEFORE WE FILED OUR 12.2 NOTICE, 03:03PM 14 WHICH IS REALLY -- I THINK AS THE COURT HAS ALREADY GLEANED, A 03:03PM NUMBER OF THINGS HAPPENED IN DECEMBER THAT RELATED TO THIS 15 03:03PM 16 ISSUE, ALL OF WHICH ARE SOMEWHAT DISTINCT FROM EACH OTHER. 03:03PM 17 THE FIRST THING THAT HAPPENED WAS THAT MS. HOLMES FILED 03:04PM 18 HER 12.2 NOTICE. THAT'S A TRIAL NOTICE ISSUE, AND IT GIVES THE 03:04PM 03:04PM 19 POTENTIAL ABILITY TO THE GOVERNMENT TO DO AN EXAMINATION AFTER 20 WE DO ANY FINAL EXPERT REPORT, WHICH IS IN TURN RESPONSIVE TO 03:04PM 2.1 THE GOVERNMENT'S CASE ON THE ISSUE OF MENS REA. 03:04PM 22 SO THAT'S REALLY AN ISSUE OF TRIAL PREPARATION FOR THE 03:04PM GOVERNMENT. 23 03:04PM 24 OF COURSE, WHEN THE COURT ULTIMATELY DECIDES WHETHER AN 03:04PM EXAMINATION IS APPROPRIATE OR NOT, THERE'S A BACKDROP OF 25 03:04PM

03:04PM	1	SIGNIFICANT FIFTH AND SIXTH AMENDMENT ISSUES WHICH I'M SURE AT
03:04PM	2	SOME POINT WE WOULD HAVE TO DISCUSS.
03:04PM	3	THE SECOND THING THAT HAPPENED IS ANTICIPATING THE FILING
03:04PM	4	OF THAT MOTION, MR. BALWANI FILED HIS MOTION TO SEVER
03:04PM	5	THE COURT: HE FILED FIRST AND IT WAS
03:04PM	6	MR. DOWNEY: HE DID.
03:04PM	7	THE COURT: HE FILED FIRST. CANDIDLY, I SAW IT AS
03:05PM	8	UNTIMELY BECAUSE YOU HAD NOT SAID ANYTHING, AND HIS MOTION WAS
03:05PM	9	FILED BASED ON I THINK A PERHAPS COMMUNICATION BETWEEN COUNSEL.
03:05PM	10	MR. DOWNEY: NO. WHAT OCCURRED, YOUR HONOR, IS,
03:05PM	11	JUST TO REMIND THE COURT, WE HAD BEEN HERE APPRISING THE COURT
03:05PM	12	OF THIS POTENTIAL ISSUE IN THE LATE SUMMER.
03:05PM	13	WE CONDUCTED THE FORENSIC PSYCHOLOGIST CONDUCTED AN
03:05PM	14	EXAMINATION, AND WE GOT REPORTS FROM THAT EXAMINATION, AND THEN
03:05PM	15	BY LETTER IN SEPTEMBER WE GAVE NOTICE TO MR. BALWANI, AND THAT
03:05PM	16	LETTER IS ATTACHED TO HIS MOTION TO SEVER.
03:05PM	17	THE COURT: OKAY. I THINK YOU SUGGESTED SOMETHING
03:05PM	18	THAT THIS WAS BEFORE THE COURT IN JUNE I THINK YOU SAID.
03:05PM	19	MR. DOWNEY: I THINK IN THE IT WAS I BELIEVE
03:05PM	20	IT WAS JULY.
03:05PM	21	THE COURT: AND I DON'T THINK THE GOVERNMENT WAS
03:05PM	22	PART OF THAT.
03:05PM	23	MR. DOWNEY: NO. I UNDERSTAND, YOUR HONOR,
03:05PM	24	ALTHOUGH
03:05PM	25	THE COURT: DO YOU UNDERSTAND WHAT I'M SAYING?

03:05PM	1	MR. DOWNEY: I DO. I DO.
03:06PM	2	AND I BELIEVE THE NOTICE TO MR. BALWANI IS ATTACHED TO HIS
03:06PM	3	PLEADING.
03:06PM	4	THE COURT: LET ME JUST INDICATE THE COURT IS NOT
03:06PM	5	GOING TO, WITHOUT YOUR PERMISSION, I'M NOT GOING TO REVEAL
03:06PM	6	ANYTHING THAT WAS DISCUSSED IN AN EX PARTE HEARING.
03:06PM	7	MR. DOWNEY: FINE. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
03:06PM	8	THE COURT: BUT THAT'S UP TO YOU.
03:06PM	9	MR. DOWNEY: I APPRECIATE THAT, AND I DON'T HAVE ANY
03:06PM	10	ISSUE DISCLOSING THAT WE SENT A LETTER AND THAT IT WAS IT
03:06PM	11	FOLLOWED ONE OF THE EX PARTE CONFERENCES.
03:06PM	12	THE COURT: OKAY.
03:06PM	13	MR. LEACH: I THINK IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT
03:06PM	14	FACT, YOUR HONOR.
03:06PM	15	THE FIRST TIME THE GOVERNMENT IS LEARNING OF THIS
03:06PM	16	POTENTIAL DEFENSE IS DECEMBER 3RD OR DECEMBER 5TH OF 2019. SO
03:06PM	17	AT THE TIME THE SCHEDULING ORDER IS SET, WE HAVE NO KNOWLEDGE
03:06PM	18	OF THE MOTION TO SEVER BASED ON THE LIKELY 12.2 DEFENSE, AND WE
03:06PM	19	HAVE NO IDEA WHAT MR. BALWANI'S RESPONSE TO THAT MIGHT BE. SO
03:06PM	20	THE COURT IS CORRECT THAT WE LEARNED ABOUT THIS IN DECEMBER.
03:07PM	21	MR. DOWNEY: YEAH. AND OF COURSE WE HAVE NO IDEA
03:07PM	22	WHAT MR. BALWANI'S RESPONSE IS, EITHER. WE JUST WANTED TO
03:07PM	23	PROVIDE THAT NOTICE TIMELY TO HIM.
03:07PM	24	MR. BALWANI'S MOTION, OF COURSE, RAISES THE POSSIBILITY OF
03:07PM	25	PREJUDICE, WHICH LEADS TO THE FIRST QUESTION THE COURT ASKED.

AND OF COURSE I'M NOT IN A POSITION TO COMMENT ON 1 03:07PM 2 DEFENDANT TESTIMONY AS THE COURT'S COMMENTS ANTICIPATE. 03:07PM THAT BEING SAID, TO THE EXTENT THAT THE COURT'S QUESTION 3 03:07PM 03:07PM 4 IS HOW LIKELY IS IT THAT THERE WILL BE NONEXPERT TESTIMONY BY A FACT WITNESS THAT RELATES TO THIS ISSUE, I THINK WE WOULD NOT 03:07PM 5 HAVE FILED THE MOTION IF WE DID NOT ANTICIPATE THAT THAT WAS 6 03:07PM LIKELY. 03:07PM THE COURT: OKAY. 8 03:07PM 9 MR. DOWNEY: THAT BEING SAID, I THINK THAT REALLY 03:07PM LAYS OUT A PATH FOR HOW THE COURT MIGHT DEAL WITH THESE 10 03:07PM SEVERANCE ISSUES BECAUSE MR. BALWANI'S MOTION DEPENDS ON THE 03:07PM 11 12 ARGUMENT, WHETHER TRUE OR NOT, THAT THE INTRODUCTION OF THIS 03:08PM 13 TESTIMONY AND EVIDENCE ON THIS ISSUE WILL PREJUDICE HIM IN 03:08PM 14 CONNECTION WITH HIS ABILITY TO GET A FAIR TRIAL. 03:08PM ON THAT BASIS ALONE, I THINK THE COURT SHOULD CONSIDER, 15 03:08PM 16 QUITE SIMPLY, THAT LEGAL ISSUE WITH THE ASSUMPTION THAT THERE 03:08PM 17 IS A JUDGE TO BE A LIKELIHOOD THAT AT LEAST NONEXPERT TESTIMONY 03:08PM 18 WILL COME IN, AS WELL AS EXPERT TESTIMONY, WHICH WILL KEY OFF 03:08PM 03:08PM 19 OF THAT NONEXPERT TESTIMONY. 20 I'M NOT MAKING COMMENTS OBVIOUSLY ON MS. HOLMES OR HER 03:08PM 21 TESTIMONY, AND I'M NOT IDENTIFYING THAT TESTIMONY, BUT I AM 03:08PM 22 TELLING THE COURT THAT WE HAVE MADE SOME JUDGMENT IN THAT 03:08PM REGARD. 23 03:08PM 24 THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, THAT'S HELPFUL. THANK YOU. 03:08PM 25 MR. DOWNEY: NOW, LET ME COMMENT JUST BRIEFLY ON THE 03:08PM

1 03:08PM 2 03:09PM 3 03:09PM 4 03:09PM 03:09PM 5 6 03:09PM 03:09PM 8 03:09PM 9 03:09PM 10 03:09PM 03:09PM 11 12 03:09PM 03:09PM 13 14 03:09PM 15 03:09PM 16 03:09PM 17 03:10PM 18 03:10PM 03:10PM 19 20 03:10PM 2.1 03:10PM 22 03:10PM 23 03:10PM 24 03:10PM 25 03:10PM

QUESTION OF THE EXAM THAT HAS BEEN REQUESTED BECAUSE I THINK

THE COURT SOUGHT SOME -- AND THE COURT DIDN'T USE THIS WORD -
BUT PERHAPS SOME EFFICIENCY IN CONNECTION WITH THAT.

WHETHER THERE WILL BE AN EXAM OR NOT IS TRULY A PREMATURE QUESTION IN THIS CONTEXT. THE EXPERT'S REPORT WOULD BE INTRODUCED IN CONNECTION WITH INSTIGATING THE MENS REA ELEMENT OF THE OFFENSES THAT ARE ALLEGED.

WE'RE NOT YET AT THE POINT WHERE THE GOVERNMENT HAS REALLY COMPLETED SHOWING WHAT THE CASE WILL BE. WE HAVE A PENDING MOTION FOR BILL OF PARTICULARS. THE GOVERNMENT, AS YOU KNOW, IS CONTINUING TO PROVIDE RULE 16 DISCLOSURE.

WHEN THAT IS DONE, IT WILL BE APPROPRIATE FOR THE DEFENSE,

AS IS CONTEMPLATED UNDER THE SCHEDULING ORDER, TO PREPARE ITS

EXPERT'S REPORTS.

THE RIGHT TO AN EXAM UNDER 12.2 ARISES FROM THE RIGHT TO REBUT THE DEFENSE'S EXPERT REPORT, NOT FROM THE FACT THAT THE ISSUE IS IN THE CASE.

SO ULTIMATELY I WOULD EXPECT, YOUR HONOR, THAT WHEN WE FILE AN EXPERT REPORT, AND IT'S CURRENTLY SCHEDULED TO BE AT THE END OF APRIL, THAT THE GOVERNMENT WILL SEEK AN EXAMINATION FOR PURPOSES OF PREPARING THEIR REBUTTAL.

AS I SUGGESTED TO YOU, I THINK A NUMBER OF LEGAL ISSUES
WILL ARISE WITH THAT. IT'S A COMPLEX QUESTION WHICH I WOULD -I THINK THE COURT WOULD WANT BRIEFING ON, BUT ALL OF THAT I
THINK CAN BE SHORT CIRCUITED IF THE COURT JUST GRANTS

1 03:10PM 2 03:10PM 3 03:10PM 4 03:10PM 03:10PM 5 6 03:10PM 03:11PM 8 03:11PM 9 03:11PM 10 03:11PM 03:11PM 11 12 03:11PM 13 03:11PM 14 03:11PM 15 03:11PM 16 03:11PM 17 03:11PM 18 03:11PM 19 03:11PM 20 03:11PM 21 03:11PM 22 03:11PM 23 03:11PM 24 03:12PM 25

03:12PM

MR. BALWANI'S SEVERANCE MOTION. OF COURSE, THAT DEPENDS ON THE COURT'S RULING ON THAT MATTER.

THE COURT: WELL -- THANK YOU. I'LL NEED SOME BASIS TO GRANT HIS MOTION IF I DO THAT, AND I THINK MY COMMENTS THIS MORNING WERE -- THIS AFTERNOON WERE HOPING TO CAPTURE THE THOUGHT PROCESS INVOLVED IN THAT.

MS. BAEHR-JONES: YES, YOUR HONOR. I JUST WANT TO RESPOND TO THE POINT THAT THERE WOULD BE FACT TESTIMONY THAT WOULD PREJUDICE DEFENDANT BALWANI REGARDLESS OF THE DECISION, THE COURT'S DECISION ON THE 12.2 EXPERT.

I ACTUALLY THINK HERE THERE MAY NOT BE ADMISSIBLE RELEVANT TESTIMONY, FACT TESTIMONY IF THERE IS NOT AN EXPERT WHO SAYS THE DEFENDANT SUFFERED THESE MENTAL ILLNESSES, PTSD, AND THAT WENT TO HER MENS REA, HERE'S HOW IT AFFECTS SOMEBODY, HERE'S HOW IT AFFECTS SOMEONE'S MINDSET AND AFFECTS HOW THEY UNDERSTAND IF THEY'RE LYING AND HOW THEY UNDERSTAND RIGHT FROM WRONG.

ABSENT THAT TESTIMONY, THIS DOESN'T APPEAR, AT LEAST FROM WHAT THE GOVERNMENT HAS SEEN, AND THERE MAY BE EX PARTE PROFFERS THAT WE HAVE NOT SEEN, BUT FROM WHAT THE GOVERNMENT HAS SEEN, THIS DOESN'T APPEAR TO BE A FACT PATTERN THAT LAYS THIS IS CONDUCT THAT HAPPENED BETWEEN THE OUT A DRESS DEFENSE. TWO OF THEM THAT OTHERWISE WOULD BE COMPLETELY IRRELEVANT AND INADMISSIBLE AS PART OF THE DEFENSE, AS PART OF THE TRIAL. IT'S HIGHLY PREJUDICIAL. IT REALLY COULD CONFUSE THE JURY ON

1 03:12PM 2 03:12PM 3 03:12PM 4 03:12PM 03:12PM 5 6 03:12PM 03:12PM 8 03:12PM 9 03:12PM 10 03:12PM 03:12PM 11 12 03:12PM 13 03:12PM 14 03:12PM 15 03:13PM 16 03:13PM 17 03:13PM 18 03:13PM 03:13PM 19 20 03:13PM 21 03:13PM 22 03:13PM 23 03:13PM 24 03:13PM 25 03:13PM

THE RELEVANT ISSUES. IT'S NOT LIKE THE CASE THAT THEY CITE

WHERE A DEFENDANT WAS LITERALLY SAYING YOU CAN'T GET YOUR LEG

FIXED UNLESS YOU SIGN THESE DOCUMENTS. THAT'S NOT WHAT WE HAVE

HERE.

SO I DO THINK THAT THIS IS NOT A CASE WHERE SIMPLY A

PROFFER OF A FACT WITNESS WOULD SUFFICE TO MAKE THE FINAL

DETERMINATION, A VERY CRUCIAL DETERMINATION, THAT THESE TWO

DEFENDANTS CANNOT GO TO TRIAL TOGETHER.

THE COURT: WELL, THE SEVERANCE MOTION IS NOT REALLY
IN FRONT OF ME RIGHT NOW AS YOU STILL HAVE TO FILE A RESPONSE,
BUT I APPRECIATE -- AND THANK YOU ALL FOR ENGAGING IN THIS
CONVERSATION. I THINK THIS BETTER INFORMS THE GOVERNMENT AS TO
WHAT THEIR RESPONSE WOULD BE AND A REPLY, IF IT'S GOING TO COME
IN, AND THE CONVERSATION THAT WE'LL HAVE ABOUT THE MOTION.

BUT I THINK THAT'S A CRITICAL -- AND YOU'VE TOUCHED ON IT.

I THINK THERE ARE TWO CRITICAL DIFFERENCES IN TESTIMONY. A

FACT WITNESS CAN TESTIFY THAT HE DID THIS TO ME AND LEAVE IT AT
THAT.

AND THEN, AS COUNSEL SUGGESTS, IF THERE'S GOING TO BE SOME EXPERT EXPLANATION ABOUT WHAT HE DID AND HOW THAT RELATES TO CONDUCT, IN REGARDS TO THE ABILITY OR NOT TO FORM MENS REA, THAT'S AN EXPERT SITUATION.

IF A WITNESS TESTIFIES I DID IT, BUT I WOULDN'T HAVE DONE
IT BUT FOR, THAT'S DIFFERENT. THAT'S A PURE DURESS, I GUESS
I'LL CALL IT THAT, BUT THAT'S A MORE DURESS.

1 03:13PM 2 03:13PM 3 03:13PM 4 03:14PM 03:14PM 5 6 03:14PM 03:14PM 8 03:14PM 9 03:14PM 10 03:14PM 03:14PM 11 12 03:14PM 13 03:14PM 14 03:14PM 15 03:14PM 16 03:14PM 17 03:14PM 18 03:14PM 19 03:14PM 20 03:14PM 2.1 03:15PM 22 03:15PM 23 03:15PM 24 03:15PM 25 03:15PM

AND I -- IT'S DIFFICULT TO TALK ABOUT THESE TWO THINGS

BECAUSE I DON'T WANT TO HAVE YOU COMMIT IN SOME WAY, BUT I WANT

TO SHARE WITH YOU THE PROBLEM THAT THE COURT HAS AS TO WHAT IS

IT THAT I'M GOING TO BE HEARING, AND WHAT IS IT THAT WE'RE

LIKELY TO HEAR, BECAUSE IT'S TWO DIFFERENT ROADS, ISN'T IT?

PERHAPS THREE.

MS. BAEHR-JONES: AND THE OTHER POINT THAT I WANTED
TO MAKE, YOUR HONOR, ABOUT THE EXAMINATION, I THINK THERE ARE
TWO DIFFERENT BASES FOR THE GOVERNMENT TO HAVE AN EXAMINATION
OF THE DEFENDANT HERE BECAUSE DEFENDANT HOLMES HAS PUT HER
MENTAL STATE AT ISSUE IN HER MOTION TO SEVER BY SAYING
EFFECTIVELY IT'S ALMOST LIKE A COMPETENCY ISSUE. AND FRANKLY,
IT FLAGGED FOR THE GOVERNMENT A CONCERN THAT THIS IS -- THIS
GOES TO HER ABILITY TO RAISE A DEFENSE AT TRIAL. THAT'S PART
OF WHAT THE EXPERT IS CONCLUDING THAT SHE'S NOT ABLE TO
EFFECTIVELY PRESENT A DEFENSE AT TRIAL, AND WE HAVEN'T FULLY
SORT OF THOUGHT THROUGH THE RAMIFICATIONS OF THAT IN TERMS OF
THE RECORD, BUT IT IS LIKE A COMPETENCY CLAIM IN THAT IT RELIES
ON THE EXPERT OPINION OF DR. MECHANIC.

AND ANY TYPE OF ISSUE THAT COMES BEFORE THE COURT THAT

RELIES ON THE MENTAL HEALTH EXPERT, THE RULES PROVIDE FOR

THE -- FOR A NEUTRAL OR A GOVERNMENT EXAMINATION SO THAT THE

COURT HAS A FULL RECORD TO MAKE THAT DECISION, TO ESSENTIALLY

MAKE A FACTUAL FINDING BASED ON THE EXPERT'S OPINION OF THE

DEFENDANT'S STATE.

1 SO THAT'S A SEPARATE ISSUE THAT IS INTERWOVEN IN ALL OF 03:15PM THIS, BUT, FRANKLY, WHEN WE WERE THINKING ABOUT WHAT WE WOULD 2 03:15PM BE ASKING TO TALK TO, TO SORT OF RAISE AS ONE OF THE ISSUES 3 03:15PM 4 DURING THE EXAMINATION, IT'S NOT JUST 12.2 ISSUES, IT'S ALSO 03:15PM THIS, THIS SORT OF CURRENT MENTAL STATE ISSUE OF WHETHER SHE 03:15PM 5 CAN SIT NEXT TO THE DEFENDANT AT TRIAL, AND THEN TO PROTECT THE 6 03:15PM RECORD, SOME COMPETENCY QUESTIONS. 03:15PM MR. DOWNEY: YOUR HONOR, JUST TO BE CLEAR, THIS IS 8 03:15PM 9 NOT A COMPETENCY ISSUE. I THINK IT'S BEING ANALOGIZED TO A 03:15PM COMPETENCY ISSUE BECAUSE THAT'S A CONTEXT IN WHICH THE COURT 10 03:15PM WOULD ACTUALLY HAVE THE ABILITY TO ORDER AN EXAMINATION, AND 03:16PM 11 12 I'M NOT CLEAR THAT THE GOVERNMENT WOULD. 03:16PM 13 BUT THERE'S NO QUESTION HERE THAT THERE'S NOTHING ABOUT 03:16PM 14 THE MOTION THAT WOULD PREVENT MS. HOLMES FROM STANDING TRIAL. 03:16PM 15 THE QUESTION IS CAN SHE DO SO EFFECTIVELY IN THE CONTEXT 03:16PM 16 OF A JOINT TRIAL? 03:16PM 17 I THINK THE REASON THE GOVERNMENT IS REACHING INTO THE 03:16PM 18 VARIOUS SUBSECTIONS OF 12.2 TO TRY TO CREATE A RIGHT TO DO AN 03:16PM 19 EXAMINATION IS THAT THERE'S A VERY LIMITED ABILITY TO DO AN 03:16PM 20 EXAMINATION BECAUSE OF THE BACKDROP OF FIFTH AND SIXTH 03:16PM 2.1 AMENDMENT ISSUES. 03:16PM 22 THE ONLY TIMES WHEN AN EXAMINATION CAN BE ORDERED OF A 03:16PM DEFENDANT, IS WHEN THERE'S AN ISSUE OF GUILT, THERE'S AN ISSUE 23 03:16PM 24 OF WHETHER THE DEFENDANT WILL BE ABLE TO STAND TRIAL AT ALL, OR 03:16PM AN ISSUE AS TO PUNISHMENT. 25 03:16PM

THIS ISN'T ANY OF THOSE ISSUES. 1 03:16PM REGARDLESS OF THE OUTCOME ON THE SEVERANCE MOTIONS, ABSENT 2 03:16PM THE CASE BEING DISMISSED OR THROWN OFF TRACK FOR ANOTHER 3 03:16PM 4 REASON, THERE WILL BE A TRIAL. THE QUESTION IS JUST WHETHER IT 03:17PM 03:17PM 5 WILL OCCUR JOINTLY. THE COURT: WELL, THAT'S RIGHT, THAT'S WHAT THE 6 03:17PM SEVERANCE IS ABOUT. 03:17PM SECONDARILY IS WHETHER OR NOT CERTAIN PROFFERED EVIDENCE 8 03:17PM 9 WOULD BE ADMITTED BY THE COURT. I'M TALKING ABOUT DAUBERT AND 03:17PM THOSE TYPES OF THINGS WHICH STILL PRESENT. 10 03:17PM BUT THE DECLARATION OF THE DOCTOR -- AND THE REASON I'M 03:17PM 11 12 HAVING THIS DISCUSSION IS I'M NOT REALLY CLEAR ABOUT WHAT, AND 03:17PM 13 MAYBE THIS WAS PURPOSEFUL, BUT I'M NOT REALLY CLEAR ABOUT WHAT 03:17PM 14 SHE WILL TESTIFY TO, THE DOCTOR. 03:17PM MR. DOWNEY: WELL, NOR SHOULD YOU BE FROM THE 15 03:17PM 16 DECLARATION ACTUALLY, YOUR HONOR. 03:17PM 17 THE COURT: IT WAS APPROPRIATELY VAGUE. 03:17PM 18 MR. DOWNEY: NO, IT'S NOT REALLY FOR THAT REASON SO 03:17PM 19 MUCH, YOUR HONOR, AS THE DOCTOR'S DECLARATION WAS SUBMITTED IN 03:17PM 20 CONNECTION WITH A MOTION THAT RELATES TO MS. HOLMES'S PRESENT 03:17PM 21 STATE OF MIND. 03:17PM 22 IT'S NOT A -- MS. HOLMES'S SEVERANCE MOTION IS REALLY 03:17PM ABOUT PRESENT STATE OF MIND ISSUES AND WHETHER THERE WOULD BE 23 03:17PM 24 AN INTERFERENCE WITH HER ABILITY TO GET A FAIR TRIAL AS A 03:18PM RESULT OF A JOINT TRIAL, PARTICIPATING IN IT ON A JOINT BASIS 25 03:18PM

WITH MR. BALWANI. 1 03:18PM THAT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH TRIAL ISSUES. I THINK WHAT 2 03:18PM THE COURT IS NOW ASKING IS, WELL, HOW LIKELY IS IT THAT THERE 3 03:18PM 03:18PM 4 WOULD BE EVIDENCE IN THE TRIAL THAT RELATES TO THIS ISSUE BE IT FACT EVIDENCE, BE IT EXPERT EVIDENCE. 03:18PM 5 WHAT I AM SAYING TO THE COURT IS THAT THE THRESHOLD FOR 6 03:18PM ADMITTING SUCH EVIDENCE IS VERY LOW WHEN THE ISSUE GOES TO 03:18PM MENS REA, AND I EXPECT THAT THERE WILL BE SUCH TESTIMONY AND 8 03:18PM 9 THAT IT ULTIMATELY WILL BE ADMITTED. 03:18PM 10 THERE'S A CONTINUAL REFERENCE, I THINK, GIVEN THE COURT'S 03:18PM COMMENT, TO A DURESS DEFENSE. THIS IS NOT AN AFFIRMATIVE 03:18PM 11 03:18PM 12 DEFENSE OF DURESS. 13 THIS IS A MENS REA ISSUE. 03:18PM 14 THE COURT: OKAY. 03:18PM MR. DOWNEY: AND EVEN IN THE ABSENCE OF EXPERT 15 03:19PM 16 TESTIMONY, THE NINTH CIRCUIT HAS HELD IT ERROR NOT TO ADMIT 03:19PM 17 FACT TESTIMONY RELATED TO THESE ISSUES AS THE COURT PROBABLY 03:19PM 18 KNOWS, BUT THE CASES ARE CITED IN OUR BRIEF. 03:19PM 03:19PM 19 THE COURT: AND WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT HERE, OR 20 WE'VE BEEN TALKING ABOUT THE SEVERANCE MOTION, BUT THE 03:19PM 2.1 CONVERSATION REALLY IS RELATED TO MR. BALWANI'S ISSUE, BECAUSE 03:19PM 22 IT IS HIS ISSUE. 03:19PM YOU HAVE A DIFFERENT ISSUE. 23 03:19PM 24 MR. DOWNEY: THAT'S EXACTLY RIGHT. 03:19PM 25 THE COURT: YOUR ISSUE IS MY CLIENT WILL SUFFER IF 03:19PM

SHE -- BECAUSE OF ALL OF THESE THINGS, SHE WILL SUFFER AND SHE 1 03:19PM 2 CANNOT POSSIBLY SIT IN THE COURTROOM WITH HER CODEFENDANT IN 03:19PM THIS CASE, THEREFORE, HER SIXTH AND FIFTH AMENDMENT 3 03:19PM 03:19PM 4 CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS ARE IMPINGED. THAT'S YOUR MOTION. 5 HIS MOTION IS VERY DIFFERENT. HE IS ANTICIPATING FACT 03:19PM TESTIMONY PERHAPS THAT IS CONCURRENT WITH WHAT YOUR EXPERT HAS 6 03:19PM AND HE SAYS I CAN'T POSSIBLY DEFEND AGAINST THAT AND SAID. 03:19PM DEFEND AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT'S CASE, THAT'S UNFAIR, THAT'S 8 03:20PM PREJUDICE. AND EVERYTHING THAT THE GOVERNMENT PUTS AGAINST THE 9 03:20PM DEFENDANT IS TYPICALLY PREJUDICIAL TO THEIR INTEREST, BUT HE 10 03:20PM SAYS THIS IS UNFAIR PREJUDICE. 03:20PM 11 12 MR. DOWNEY: THAT'S RIGHT. 03:20PM 13 THE COURT: AND IT SHOULDN'T BE PERMITTED. 03:20PM 14 MR. DOWNEY: I THINK THAT'S RIGHT, YOUR HONOR. 03:20PM ONLY REASON I'M REALLY COMMENTING ON ALL OF THIS IS TWOFOLD. 15 03:20PM ONE IS THAT I THINK THE COURT UNDERSTANDABLY WAS MAKING 16 03:20PM 17 AN INQUIRY ABOUT LIKELIHOOD. THAT'S NUMBER ONE. 03:20PM 18 NUMBER TWO, OF COURSE ULTIMATELY THE ISSUE IS WOULD SUCH 03:20PM EVIDENCE BE ADMITTED AT A TRIAL? IT'S DIFFICULT TO MAKE ANY 03:20PM 19 20 KIND OF FINAL JUDGMENT WITH RESPECT TO THAT. 03:20PM 2.1 THE COURT: TODAY. 03:20PM 22 MR. DOWNEY: NEEDLESS TO SAY TODAY. 03:20PM BUT -- SO I'M JUST COMMENTING THAT I ANTICIPATE IT WILL BE 23 03:20PM 24 ADMITTED AND THAT THERE ARE SOUND BASES IN THE LAW FOR IT TO BE 03:20PM 25 ADMITTED. 03:20PM

03:20PM	1	AND BASED ON OUR PRESENT EXPECTATION, IT WOULD BE ADMITTED
03:20PM	2	IN CONNECTION WITH REBUTTING OR RESPONDING TO THE MENS REA
03:21PM	3	ELEMENT.
03:21PM	4	THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, THANK YOU. THAT'S HELPFUL.
03:21PM	5	I APPRECIATE THE ASSISTANCE.
03:21PM	6	LET ME, LET ME TURN TO MR. CAZARES OR MR. COOPERSMITH,
03:21PM	7	WHOEVER WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK.
03:21PM	8	AGAIN, THE MOTIONS I'M NOT HEARING THE MOTIONS NOW.
03:21PM	9	THIS IS BACKGROUND FOR THE MOTIONS, BUT IT'S HELPFUL, AND I
03:21PM	10	APPRECIATE YOUR CANDOR HERE BECAUSE THE GOVERNMENT I'M GOING
03:21PM	11	TO GET AN OPPOSITION, I EXPECT, FROM THE MAYBE THEY'LL
03:21PM	12	CONCEDE THE MOTION BUT I EXPECT I WILL GET AN OPPOSITION
03:21PM	13	FROM THEM.
03:21PM	14	LET ME SAY THAT I'M GOING TO GIVE YOU ADDITIONAL TIME.
03:21PM	15	YOU'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE TO FILE IT THIS AFTERNOON. I AM GOING
03:21PM	16	TO GIVE THEM ADDITIONAL TIME, AND I THINK THAT'S ONLY FAIR
03:21PM	17	GIVEN THE CONTEXT OF OUR CONVERSATION HERE.
03:21PM	18	MS. BAEHR-JONES: YOUR HONOR, TO THAT POINT, IF I
03:21PM	19	MAY.
03:21PM	20	SO WHAT WE HAVE RIGHT NOW ON THE 12.2 NOTICE DOES NOT IN
03:21PM	21	FACT SAY THAT THE EXPERT IS GOING TO TESTIFY.
03:21PM	22	THE COURT: RIGHT.
03:21PM	23	MS. BAEHR-JONES: SO I THINK EVEN IF YOUR HONOR IS
03:21PM	24	NOT INCLINED TO GO WITH THE GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED SCHEDULE, IT
03:21PM	25	WOULD BE VERY DIFFICULT TO WRITE AN OPPOSITION TO THIS MOTION

WITH THE AMOUNT OF FACTS THAT WE HAVE RIGHT NOW. WE DON'T HAVE 1 03:21PM THE EXPERT'S REPORT ABOUT WHAT SHE'S GOING TO SAY. 2 03:22PM THE COURT: PARDON ME. LET ME INTERRUPT YOU. I'M 3 03:22PM 4 TALKING ABOUT A RESPONSE TO THE CODEFENDANT, MR. BALWANI'S 03:22PM 03:22PM 5 MOTION. MS. BAEHR-JONES: BUT STILL, WE DON'T -- TO RESPOND 03:22PM TO HIS MOTION WE WOULD HAVE TO TALK ABOUT PREJUDICE, AND SINCE 03:22PM WE DON'T KNOW WHAT THE EXPERT TESTIMONY IS EVEN GOING TO LOOK 8 03:22PM 9 LIKE, IT WOULD BE ALMOST IMPOSSIBLE TO RESPOND TO HOW MUCH 03:22PM PREJUDICE THERE WOULD BE TO DEFENDANT BALWANI. EVEN IF THE 10 03:22PM COURT IS NOT INCLINED TO GO WITH THIS SCHEDULE, THE GOVERNMENT 03:22PM 11 12 WOULD URGE FOR THE DEFENDANTS TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION 03:22PM 13 LIKE THE SUMMARY REPORT OF THE 12.2 EXPERT SO WE CAN AT LEAST, 03:22PM 14 BASED ON THAT INFORMATION, MAKE OUR ARGUMENTS IN OPPOSITION. 03:22PM 15 THE COURT: SURE. WELL, LET'S HERE WHAT MR. BALWANI 03:22PM 16 HAS TO SAY. 03:22PM 17 MR. CAZARES: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 03:22PM 18 STEPHEN CAZARES FOR MR. BALWANI. 03:22PM 03:22PM 19 YOUR HONOR, WE APPRECIATE THE POSITION THAT THE COURT IS 20 IN AND BEING ASKED TO MAKE THESE DECISIONS AT THIS TIME WHILE 03:22PM 21 THERE'S SO MANY KIND OF BALLS UP IN THE AIR AND IT'S NOT CLEAR 03:23PM 22 WHERE THEY'RE ALL GOING TO LAND. 03:23PM BUT THE PROBLEM IS FOR US, FOR MR. BALWANI AND HIS CASE 23 03:23PM 24 AND HIS ARGUMENTS FOR SEVERANCE AND THE PREJUDICE THAT HE 03:23PM 25 BELIEVES HE WOULD FACE, IF ANY ONE OF THOSE KIND OF EVIDENTIARY 03:23PM

BALLS IN THE AIR THAT THE HOLMES TEAM IS GOING TO SEEK TO ADMIT 1 03:23PM 2 INTO TRIAL, ANY OF IT THAT GETS INTO EVIDENCE AND IT'S 03:23PM EXTREMELY PREJUDICIAL, ANY OF IT THAT GETS INTO EVIDENCE, IT 3 03:23PM 03:23PM 4 OTHERWISE WOULD BE 403 AND ADMISSIBLE CHARACTER EVIDENCE, AND 5 LIKELY IT WOULD RESULT IN SOME SORT OF A MISTRIAL. 03:23PM FOR US IT'S NOT JUST A MATTER OF, WELL, MAYBE THE 6 03:23PM GOVERNMENT WILL SUCCEED AND RAISE SOME SORT OF EVIDENTIARY 03:23PM ISSUE FOR THE JURY IN A BATTLE OF EXPERTS. MAYBE THEY'LL BE 8 03:23PM 9 LUCKY ENOUGH TO KEEP THE EXPERT TESTIMONY OUT. MAYBE 03:23PM MS. HOLMES WILL OR WON'T TESTIFY. 10 03:23PM IT SOUNDS TO ME LIKE THE HOLMES DEFENSE TEAM INTENDS TO 03:23PM 11 03:24PM 12 PRESENT SOME EVIDENCE OF SOME SORT OF ABUSE ALLEGATIONS, TO 03:24PM 13 SUPPORT ABUSE ALLEGATIONS, AND WHETHER OR NOT THE EVIDENCE 14 AFFIRMATIVELY PROVES THE ALLEGATION ON ITS OWN SOLELY. AS LONG 03:24PM 15 AS IT'S SOME EVIDENCE. 03:24PM YOU KNOW, THE HOLMES TEAM, I WOULD THINK, WOULD BE MAKING 16 03:24PM ARGUMENTS TO THE EXTENT THAT THERE ARE REASONABLE INFERENCES 17 03:24PM 18 FROM THAT SORT OF EVIDENCE. 03:24PM 03:24PM 19 20 03:24PM 21 03:24PM 22 03:24PM 03:24PM 23 24 03:24PM 25 03:24PM

AND TO THE EXTENT THAT ANY OF THAT EVIDENCE GETS INTO THE 1 03:24PM TRIAL, WE'RE IN THE SAME POSITION. WHETHER OR NOT IT'S ALL OR 2 03:24PM JUST A LITTLE BIT, YOU KNOW, INCH FOR AN INCH AND INCH FOR A 3 03:24PM 03:25PM 4 MILE FOR US. THE COURT: WELL, YOU KNOW, I UNDERSTAND THAT, BUT 03:25PM 5 IN TRIALS, YOU'VE PROBABLY BEEN INVOLVED IN THEM, AND THERE ARE 03:25PM CODEFENDANT CASES AND SOMEBODY SAYS, WELL, YOU KNOW, I NEVER 03:25PM REALLY LIKED HIM OR HER AND I ALWAYS THOUGHT THEY WERE A BAD 8 03:25PM 9 INFLUENCE ON THEM. 03:25PM OH REALLY? WHY IS THAT? WELL, YOU KNOW, HE USED TO DO 10 03:25PM THIS. HE WAS VERY JEALOUS, AND HE NEVER LET HER TALK TO 03:25PM 11 03:25PM 12 WAITERS WHEN WE WOULD GO TO A RESTAURANT, AND HE WAS ALWAYS 13 JEALOUS, AND THOSE TYPES OF THINGS. 03:25PM 14 WELL, THAT COMES IN AND THAT'S NOT NECESSARILY 03:25PM PREJUDICIAL. IT'S JUST SOMEBODY COMMENTING ON THEIR 15 03:25PM 16 OBSERVATIONS. 03:25PM 17 I BELIEVE I READ IN THE DECLARATIONS IT SOUNDS LIKE THERE 03:25PM 18 MIGHT BE THIRD PARTY EVIDENCE OFFERED BY MS. HOLMES, HER TEAM, 03:25PM 03:25PM 19 AS TO OBSERVATIONS ABOUT CONDUCT, AND THAT'S DILUTED, I 20 SUPPOSE, IF SHE DOESN'T TESTIFY. 03:25PM 21 BUT THIRD PARTY EVIDENCE IS AFFORDED, WELL, I SAW THIS, 03:25PM 22 NOT SHE TOLD ME, BUT I SAW THIS. 03:25PM AND WHAT DID THAT LOOK LIKE? WELL, IT LOOKED LIKE 23 03:26PM 24 WHATEVER. 03:26PM THAT'S REMOVED FROM A WITNESS SAYING HE DID THIS TO ME. 25 03:26PM

03:26PM	1	MR. CAZARES: BUT THAT'S MY POINT, YOUR HONOR.
03:26PM	2	THERE'S THE INFERENTIAL LINK, AND ANYBODY WHO HAS BEEN IN TRIAL
03:26PM	3	OR BEEN A TRIAL LAWYER OR A JUDGE, FACING TRIAL AND MAKING
03:26PM	4	DECISIONS BASED ON COUNSEL ARGUMENT, THERE'S A REASONABLE BASIS
03:26PM	5	FOR A DEFENSE LAWYER TO MAKE AN ARGUMENT BASED ON FACTS AND
03:26PM	6	EVIDENCE, EVEN IF THOSE FACTS DON'T DISPOSITIVELY PROVE THE END
03:26PM	7	GAME, TYPICALLY THEY GET TO MAKE IT.
03:26PM	8	THE COURT: OF COURSE.
03:26PM	9	MR. CAZARES: SO TO THE EXTENT THAT THESE SOMEWHAT
03:26PM	10	INNOCUOUS FACTS RELATING TO THIRD PARTY OBSERVATIONS DON'T
03:26PM	11	PROVE ON THEIR OWN THE ABUSE ALLEGATIONS, AND THEORETICALLY
03:26PM	12	AGAIN, I'M NOT PRIVY TO WHAT THE HOLMES TEAM HAS SUBMITTED IN
03:26PM	13	CAMERA AND WHAT THEY ULTIMATELY PLAN TO ARGUE, BUT I SUSPECT AT
03:26PM	14	SOME LEVEL IT MAY BE THAT MR. BALWANI CAUSED HER TO DO THINGS
03:26PM	15	AND MAKE DECISIONS THAT SHE DIDN'T INTEND TO.
03:26PM	16	THE COURT: HAVE YOU SEEN THE REPORT?
03:27PM	17	MR. CAZARES: I HAVE NOT.
03:27PM	18	THE COURT: I SEE. OKAY.
03:27PM	19	MR. CAZARES: I HAVE NOT. I'M PURELY GUESSING AND
03:27PM	20	SPECULATING RIGHT NOW, BUT I'VE BEEN AROUND LONG ENOUGH TO
03:27PM	21	IMAGINE WHAT SOME OF THE ARGUMENT MAY BE EVEN IF THE EXPERT
03:27PM	22	TESTIMONY AND/OR MS. HOLMES DOESN'T TESTIFY.
03:27PM	23	THE COURT: YOU'VE SEEN THE REPORT?
03:27PM	24	MR. LEACH: YOUR HONOR, I THINK THERE MAY BE A
03:27PM	25	DISCONNECT HERE. I BELIEVE THE DECLARATION OF DR. MECHANIC HAS

03:27PM	1	BEEN SERVED ON MR. BALWANI.
03:27PM	2	THE COURT: I SAID REPORT. IT'S NOT A REPORT, IT'S
03:27PM	3	A DECLARATION. YOU'VE SEEN THE DECLARATION?
03:27PM	4	MR. CAZARES: THE DECLARATION. WE'VE SEEN THE
03:27PM	5	DECLARATION, AND OBVIOUSLY WE HAVE JUMPED TO OUR OWN INFERENCES
03:27PM	6	AND CONCLUSIONS BASED ON THAT. WE HAVE NOT SEEN ANY UNDERLYING
03:27PM	7	REPORT.
03:27PM	8	THE COURT: I'M NOT CERTAIN WHETHER ONE HAS BEEN
03:27PM	9	PREPARED YET BASED ON COMMENTS.
03:27PM	10	MY POINT IN TALKING ABOUT THIS IS THIRD PARTY OBSERVATIONS
03:27PM	11	ARE DIFFERENT THAN FIRST PARTY EXPERIENCES. IT CAUSES A BIT OF
03:27PM	12	A DILUTION, IF YOU WILL, OF THE PREJUDICIAL FACT PERHAPS.
03:28PM	13	MR. CAZARES: IT COULD BE A WEIGHT ISSUE, YOUR
03:28PM	14	HONOR.
03:28PM	15	THE COURT: RIGHT. EXACTLY.
03:28PM	16	MR. CAZARES: IS IT AS SIGNIFICANT AS A FIRST PERSON
03:28PM	17	OBSERVATION? BUT STILL EVIDENCE NONETHELESS.
03:28PM	18	THE COURT: SO YOU FILED YOUR MOTION FIRST, AND YOU
03:28PM	19	DID NOT HAVE BENEFIT OF THE DECLARATION. AND MS. BAEHR-JONES
03:28PM	20	WOULD LIKE ME TO CONTINUE TO REFER TO THAT AS THE UNSWORN
03:28PM	21	DECLARATION.
03:28PM	22	YOU DID NOT HAVE BENEFIT OF THAT, AND I'M CURIOUS NOW AS I
03:28PM	23	LOOK AT THIS, AND I ANTICIPATE THE OPPOSITION, I'M CURIOUS IF I
03:28PM	24	SHOULD ALLOW YOU TO AUGMENT YOUR MOTION BASED ON WHAT YOU'VE
03:28PM	25	HEARD NOW FROM YOUR CODEFENDANT'S LAWYER AND HAVE THE

GOVERNMENT RESPOND BASED ON THAT AS WELL.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

03:28PM

03:28PM

03:28PM

03:28PM

03:28PM

03:28PM

03:29PM

03:30PM

MR. CAZARES: YOUR HONOR, THERE MAY BE SOME AREAS
WHERE WE MAY WANT TO SUPPLEMENT. I'M NOT SURE THE

DECLARATION -- WHILE IT DID ADD SOME MEAT TO THE BONES OF THE

12.2 LETTER I WOULD SUPPOSE, AND WE COULD REFER TO IT AT LEAST
FOR OUR OWN RECORD, I MEAN, I COULD CONSULT WITH

MR. COOPERSMITH, BUT TO THE EXTENT THAT THE COURT IS GOING TO

MAYBE NOT ADOPT THE GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED SEVERANCE KIND OF

CALENDAR BUT SOME SORT OF MODIFIED TO GIVE THE GOVERNMENT MORE

TIME, WE WOULD LIKE A CHANCE TO REVISIT AND DETERMINE WHETHER

OR NOT WE MIGHT WANT TO ADD OR SUPPLEMENT OR THE ANSWER MAY BE

SIMPLY GIVE US GREATER LEEWAY ON A REPLY TO ADDRESS ISSUES THAT

MAYBE WE DIDN'T RAISE IN OUR INITIAL MOTION SO THAT WE CAN AT

LEAST PRESENT A COMPLETE ARGUMENT AND PRESENTATION TO THE

COURT.

THE COURT: SURE. MS. BAEHR-JONES.

MS. BAEHR-JONES: YOUR HONOR, JUST BECAUSE I DO
THINK THAT THE DECLARATION OF DR. MECHANIC IS IMPORTANT, BUT
WHAT IS EQUALLY IMPORTANT IS WHAT IS NOT THERE.

AND I JUST DON'T UNDERSTAND AT THIS POINT WHY THE GOVERNMENT CAN'T BE PROVIDED WITH THAT. IT COULD BE A SHORT SUMMARY. IT DOESN'T NEED TO BE THE COMPLETE DISCLOSURE, BUT

BECAUSE THIS IS SO CRITICAL TO WHAT DEFENDANT BALWANI IS 1 03:30PM ARGUING, AND BECAUSE THE COURT IS CLEARLY INTERESTED IN 2 03:30PM SUPPLEMENTAL ARGUMENT ABOUT THIS VERY ISSUE, THIS IS -- THESE 3 03:30PM 4 EVALUATIONS HAPPENED IN AUGUST, AND THERE WAS 14 OR 16 HOURS OF 03:30PM EVALUATIONS. SO THE GOVERNMENT WOULD JUST LIKE TO BE ABLE TO 03:30PM 5 BRIEF THAT FOR THE COURT. 6 03:30PM THE COURT: WELL, THAT THEN GETS ME BACK TO 03:30PM MR. DOWNEY THEN AND ASKING HIM ABOUT HIS EXPERT AND WHETHER OR 8 03:30PM 9 NOT -- AND IT'S KIND OF AN AWKWARD SITUATION HERE BECAUSE CAN 03:30PM YOU GET YOUR EXPERT TO WRITE SOMETHING THAT MIGHT GIVE US MORE 10 03:30PM GUIDANCE FOR HIS MOTION, FOR MR. BALWANI'S MOTION? 03:30PM 11 12 MR. DOWNEY: YOU KNOW, YOUR HONOR, JUST TO SEPARATE 03:30PM 13 OUT A FEW THINGS AND TO BE FAIR TO MR. CAZARES'S COMMENTS, TO 03:30PM 14 RETURN TO BASICS, THE DECLARATION IS ABOUT PRESENT STATE OF 03:30PM IT'S NOT REALLY ABOUT THE FACTS OF THE UNDERLYING 15 03:30PM 16 ALLEGED OFFENSES. 03:31PM 17 I THINK THAT WE CANNOT PREPARE AN EXPERT REPORT UNTIL THE 03:31PM 18 CONCLUSION OF THE GOVERNMENT'S COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 16, WHICH 03:31PM 19 IS RESPONSIVE TO THAT, AND WE'RE ALSO HOPEFUL OF GETTING MORE 03:31PM DETAIL ABOUT THE CRIMINAL CHARGES. 03:31PM 20 21 THE COURT: WHAT IS IT YOU EXPECT THEM TO GIVE YOU 03:31PM 22 THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO THE PREPARATION OF THE REPORT? 03:31PM 23 MR. DOWNEY: WELL, ON EVERY SINGLE ISSUE WE ARE 03:31PM 24 AWARE OF ISSUES THAT MIGHT IMPLICATE THIS ASPECT TO THE 03:31PM 25 MENS REA DEFENSE, BUT WE DON'T KNOW THAT FOR SURE. 03:31PM

03:31PM	1	SO WE WERE IN COURT TODAY TALKING ABOUT THE FDA ISSUES.
03:31PM	2	THERE COULD BE ISSUES THERE.
03:31PM	3	THERE ARE ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES OF STATEMENTS COMING IN
03:31PM	4	EARLY FEBRUARY. THAT'S LIKELY TO IMPLICATE A NEED TO RESPOND.
03:31PM	5	MOST FUNDAMENTALLY, THE GOVERNMENT IS GOING TO GIVE US
03:31PM	6	THEIR EXPERT REPORTS, AND OBVIOUSLY THE MENS REA REPORT WE'LL
03:32PM	7	HAVE TO RESPOND TO THOSE ELEMENTS OF THE GOVERNMENT'S
03:32PM	8	PRESENTATION.
03:32PM	9	SO I DON'T THINK IT'S, AS I UNDERSTAND
03:32PM	10	THE COURT: LET ME STOP YOU THERE AND JUST DO YOU
03:32PM	11	HAVE EXPERT REPORTS THAT ARE PENDING?
03:32PM	12	MR. LEACH: THERE'S AN EXPERT DEADLINE, YOUR HONOR,
03:32PM	13	BUT IT WAS NOT THE GOVERNMENT'S INTENTION TO PUT FORTH EXPERT
03:32PM	14	TESTIMONY ON THE DEFENDANT'S MENTAL STATE.
03:32PM	15	THE COURT: IS THAT WHAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT?
03:32PM	16	MR. DOWNEY: NO. NO, YOUR HONOR. I'M SAYING WE
03:32PM	17	WANT TO KNOW THE ENTIRETY OF THE GOVERNMENT'S CASE BEFORE WE
03:32PM	18	HAVE AN EXPERT SUBMIT A RELIABLE REPORT.
03:32PM	19	WHAT IS HAPPENING HERE, WHICH IS VERY UNCONVENTIONAL, IS
03:32PM	20	FOR US TO STATE OUR DEFENSE.
03:32PM	21	THE COURT: I'M NOT ASKING YOU, PLEASE REMEMBER
03:32PM	22	THAT.
03:32PM	23	I TALKED AT A HIGH LEVEL. I SAID BECAUSE I WANTED TO
03:32PM	24	SHARE WITH YOU THE THOUGHT PROCESS OF THIS UNIQUE SITUATION
03:32PM	25	WHERE THE CODEFENDANT'S MOTION TO SEVER BASED ON YOUR CLIENT'S

1 PROPOSED POTENTIAL EXPECTED TESTIMONY AND HOW THAT INTERACTS 03:32PM AND THE DIFFICULTY THAT THIS COURT HAS MAKING A DECISION ON THE 2 03:32PM SEVERANCE MOTION WHEN IT HAS, WELL, MAYBE POSSIBLY, MAYBE, IT 3 03:33PM MIGHT, MAY. THAT'S THE DIFFICULTY. 03:33PM 4 AND PARTICULARLY, LET ME JUST SAY THIS, TOO, THE 03:33PM 5 DECLARATION IN SUPPORT THAT YOU FILED FROM THE DOCTOR IN 6 03:33PM SUPPORT OF YOUR MOTION TO SEVER, IT TALKS ABOUT CERTAIN 03:33PM ASPECTS, AND I'M JUST CURIOUS IF YOUR DOCTOR WOULD, IF YOUR 8 03:33PM 9 DOCTOR WOULD AT A MINIMUM SIGN A DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF 03:33PM PERJURY OR WHATEVER, AUTHOR A DECLARATION ABOUT HER FINDINGS, 10 03:33PM LIKE WE TYPICALLY FIND WITH REPORT TYPE SIGNATURES. 03:33PM 11 03:33PM 12 MR. DOWNEY: OUR EXPECTATION IS THAT A REPORT WILL 13 BE PREPARED WHICH COVERS THESE ISSUES AS THEY RELATE TO THE 03:33PM GOVERNMENT'S CASE. WE DON'T YET KNOW THE TOTALITY OF THAT CASE 14 03:33PM AND WHEN WE DO, SHE WILL. 15 03:34PM I CAN'T MAKE ANY COMMITMENT AHEAD OF THAT. 16 03:34PM 17 THE COURT: WELL, I'M TALKING ABOUT JUST WITH HER 03:34PM 18 DECLARATION. WAS SHE WILLING TO SIGN THAT? 03:34PM 03:34PM 19 MR. DOWNEY: CERTAINLY, YOUR HONOR, YES. 20 THE COURT: SO THE COURT WOULD AT LEAST HAVE THAT? 03:34PM 21 MS. BAEHR-JONES: YOUR HONOR, IF SHE IS GOING TO DO 03:34PM 22 THAT, THE GOVERNMENT WOULD JUST ASK THAT SHE INCLUDE A 03:34PM PARAGRAPH ABOUT HER OPINIONS ON 12.2, ABOUT MENS REA, AND THE 23 03:34PM 24 FACT THAT THE PTSD, THE ANXIETY AND DEPRESSION COULD SERVE AS A 03:34PM 25 PARTIAL, A MENTAL DEFECT OR A MENTAL CONDITION. 03:34PM

03:34PM	1	THE COURT: DID SHE SAY THAT IN HER DECLARATION?
03:34PM	2	MR. DOWNEY: NO.
03:34PM	3	MS. BAEHR-JONES: SHE DID NOT.
03:34PM	4	MR. DOWNEY: I THINK THE QUESTION IS WHETHER
03:34PM	5	THERE REALLY ARE TWO DIFFERENT ISSUES AND 12.2 KEEPS GETTING
03:34PM	6	REFERRED TO IN THIS CONTEXT, BUT IT'S NOT REALLY APPLICABLE.
03:34PM	7	THE 12.2 REPORT, WHICH HAS AN OBLIGATION TO RESPOND TO THE
03:34PM	8	GOVERNMENT'S CASE, IS PREPARED IN RESPONSE TO THE
03:34PM	9	GOVERNMENT'S THE CONCLUSION OF THE GOVERNMENT'S CASE AT THE
03:35PM	10	DEADLINE OF THE EXPERT REPORTS.
03:35PM	11	THE GOVERNMENT THEN HAS A RIGHT TO ASK FOR WHAT IT IS NOW
03:35PM	12	ASKING FOR PREMATURELY, A RIGHT TO EXAMINE THE DEFENDANT, TO
03:35PM	13	PREPARE A REPORT, ET CETERA.
03:35PM	14	WE'RE JUST AHEAD OF THAT IN TERMS OF WHERE WE ARE IN THE
03:35PM	15	CASE.
03:35PM	16	BUT THE YOU KNOW, THE MORE FUNDAMENTAL POINT, YOUR
03:35PM	17	HONOR, I THINK IS I DON'T THINK OUR PRESENTATION TODAY, AND I
03:35PM	18	KNOW THE COURT WAS NOT MAKING A JUDGMENT IN THIS REGARD, I
03:35PM	19	DON'T THINK THE OUR PRESENTATION SHOULD BE HEARD AS SAYING
03:35PM	20	THIS IS A SPECULATIVE PRESENTATION. THIS IS A LIKELY
03:35PM		
	21	PRESENTATION AT TRIAL.
03:35PM		PRESENTATION AT TRIAL.  THE COURT: OKAY.
03:35PM 03:35PM	22	
	22	THE COURT: OKAY.
03:35PM	22 23 24	THE COURT: OKAY.  MR. DOWNEY: IF THERE IS A DEFENSE CASE.

1 03:35PM 2 03:35PM 3 03:35PM 4 03:35PM 03:35PM 03:35PM 03:35PM 8 03:36PM 9 03:36PM 10 03:36PM 03:36PM 11 12 03:36PM 13 03:36PM 14 03:36PM 15 03:36PM 16 03:36PM 17 03:36PM 18 03:36PM 19 03:36PM 03:36PM 20 21 03:36PM 22 03:36PM 23 03:36PM

24

25

03:36PM

03:36PM

THE COURT: YES.

MR. COOPERSMITH: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. SO FIRST OF ALL, WE'RE OBVIOUSLY TODAY NOT ARGUING THE QUESTION OF SEVERANCE. THAT, AS YOU SAID, YOUR HONOR, WE HAVE NOT EVEN RECEIVED THE GOVERNMENT'S OPPOSITION.

THE COURT: WE'RE DANCING PRETTY CLOSE TO IT,

MR. COOPERSMITH, BUT YOU'RE RIGHT, THE MOTION IS NOT BEFORE THE

COURT NOW, BUT THIS IS FOUNDATIONAL INFORMATION FOR THE MOTION,

AND I APPRECIATE YOUR ATTENTION TO IT.

MR. COOPERSMITH: YES. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

SO FIRST OF ALL, WE HAVE A TOTALLY DIFFERENT ISSUE AS I

SEE IT THAN MS. HOLMES.

AS MR. DOWNEY COMMENTED, MS. HOLMES'S ISSUE APPARENTLY IS
THAT SHE BELIEVES THAT SHE CAN'T PARTICIPATE IN THE JOINT TRIAL
BECAUSE OF HER PRESENT MENTAL STATE, AS MR. DOWNEY SAID.

MR. BALWANI'S MOTION IS COMPLETELY DIFFERENT.

MR. BALWANI'S MOTION FOR SEVERANCE IS SIMPLY THAT BECAUSE OF

THE DEFENSE THAT MS. HOLMES HAS NOW NOTICED UNDER 12.2, ANY

EVIDENCE, AS MR. CAZARES SAID, ANY EVIDENCE THAT COMES IN ABOUT

THIS ALLEGED ABUSE IS SO DEEPLY PREJUDICIAL TO MR. BALWANI THAT

HE CAN'T POSSIBLY HAVE A FAIR TRIAL, AND IT DOESN'T MATTER

WHETHER THAT EVIDENCE COMES IN, IN THE FORM OF FACT WITNESS

TESTIMONY FROM THIRD PARTIES, FROM MS. HOLMES HERSELF, OR FROM

EXPERT TESTIMONY, OR ALL OF THOSE THINGS, WHICH IS WHAT I

SUSPECT WOULD HAPPEN.

1 03:37PM 2 03:37PM 3 03:37PM 4 03:37PM 03:37PM 5 6 03:37PM 03:37PM 8 03:37PM 9 03:37PM 10 03:37PM 03:37PM 11 12 03:37PM 13 03:37PM 14 03:37PM 15 03:37PM 16 03:37PM 17 03:37PM 18 03:38PM 19 03:38PM 20 03:38PM 21 03:38PM 22 03:38PM 23 03:38PM 24 03:38PM 25 03:38PM

IN TERMS OF THE TIMING OF RULING ON THE SEVERANCE, AS THE COURT HAS NOTED, THE GOVERNMENT'S OPPOSITION IS ACTUALLY DUE TODAY, AND I APPRECIATE THEY MAY GET A LITTLE MORE TIME.

WE NEED TO GET THAT FULLY BRIEFED SO WE CAN ARGUE THE MERITS OF THE SEVERANCE FROM MR. BALWANI'S STANDPOINT FOR AT LEAST THREE REASONS.

FIRST OF ALL, IF WE DON'T GET A RULING ON SEVERANCE NOW,
AND THE COURT HAS SUGGESTED GETTING AN EARLIER RULING WOULD BE
HELPFUL, WE'RE GOING TO BE IN THE DARK ABOUT WHAT EXACTLY WE'RE
FACING AT TRIAL, WHICH WE'VE TRIED TO DO EVERYTHING WE CAN TO
KEEP ON THE CURRENT SCHEDULE AT LEAST FOR MR. BALWANI'S TRIAL
OF JULY 28TH FOR JURY SELECTION.

IN ADDITION, IF WE DON'T GET A RULING, NOT ONLY WILL WE BE IN THE DARK, BUT WE WON'T BE ABLE TO PREPARE FOR TRIAL BECAUSE THERE'S A VERY DIFFERENT SET OF PREPARATION THAT GOES INTO A JOINT TRIAL WHEN YOU WORK WITH CODEFENDANT COUNSEL TO FIGURE OUT WHO TAKES THE LEAD ON WITNESSES, AND THERE WILL BE MANY WITNESSES IN THIS TRIAL VERSUS HAVING TO DO IT AS JUST ONE DEFENDANT. VERY DIFFERENT.

AND THEN IN ADDITION, WE WOULD NOT KNOW UNDER THE GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED SCHEDULE FOR RULING ON SEVERANCE, WHICH DEPENDS ON MANY, MANY MONTHS OF BACK AND FORTH WITH MR. -- MS. HOLMES'S COUNSEL, WE WOULD NOT KNOW WHETHER WE'RE GOING TO HAVE A JOINT TRIAL OR NOT UNTIL AND IN THE GOVERNMENT'S SCHEDULE UNTIL SOMETHING LIKE JUNE.

03:38PM	1	AND THE
03:38PM	2	EXTENSIVE ANI
03:38PM	3	ALLEGATIONS.
03:38PM	4	THE ALLEGATION
03:38PM	5	AS THE FRAUD
03:38PM	6	SO ALL C
03:38PM	7	THINK THERE H
03:38PM	8	AND THEN
03:38PM	9	WELL, HOW DO
03:38PM	10	FROM THE GOVE
03:39PM	11	BE ABLE TO EX
03:39PM	12	PLANNING TO I
03:39PM	13	ITSELF TELLS
03:39PM	14	SAID, THAT MS
03:39PM	15	GONE TO HER N
03:39PM	16	FRAUD OFFENSE
03:39PM	17	I THINK IS GO
03:39PM	18	UNLESS V
03:39PM	19	PREJUDICED.
03:39PM	20	AND THEF
03:39PM	21	HAVE THE FLOO
03:39PM	22	A COMMENT ABO
03:39PM	23	AT TRIAL. OF
03:39PM	24	ANYBODY WHETH
03:39PM	25	BUT WHEN

AND THE PROBLEM WOULD BE THAT WE HAVE TO CONDUCT AN EXTENSIVE AND EXPENSIVE INVESTIGATION INTO THESE OTHER ALLEGATIONS. SO WE WOULD HAVE TO BE PREPARED FOR DEALING WITH THE ALLEGATIONS FROM MS. HOLMES ABOUT THE ALLEGED ABUSE AS WELL AS THE FRAUD CASES THAT THE GOVERNMENT HAS BROUGHT.

SO ALL OF THOSE THINGS CREATE SUCH DEEP PREJUDICE THAT WE THINK THERE HAS TO BE A RULING NOW.

AND THEN THE QUESTION IS, AS MS. BAEHR-JONES HAS SAID,
WELL, HOW DO YOU KNOW WHAT EVIDENCE IS COMING IN? I DON'T HEAR
FROM THE GOVERNMENT A REALISTIC ARGUMENT THAT THEY'RE GOING TO
BE ABLE TO EXCLUDE ALL OF THE EVIDENCE THAT MS. HOLMES IS
PLANNING TO PRESENT ON THIS ABUSE ISSUE, AND THE 12.2 NOTICE
ITSELF TELLS ME THAT THEY'RE GOING TO ARGUE, AND MR. DOWNEY HAS
SAID, THAT MS. HOLMES'S ALLEGED ABUSE THAT SHE SUFFERED HAS
GONE TO HER MENS REA WHICH SHE COULDN'T HAVE COMMITTED THE
FRAUD OFFENSES. THAT'S WHAT A 12.2 NOTICE IS, AND THAT'S WHAT
I THINK IS GOING ON HERE.

UNLESS WE GET A RULING, WE'RE GOING TO BE DEEPLY PREJUDICED.

AND THERE'S A COUPLE OF THINGS, YOUR HONOR, JUST BECAUSE I HAVE THE FLOOR HERE FOR A MINUTE. FIRST OF ALL, THE COURT MADE A COMMENT ABOUT THE QUESTION OF WHETHER MS. HOLMES WILL TESTIFY AT TRIAL. OBVIOUSLY MR. DOWNEY AND HIS TEAM DON'T HAVE TO TELL ANYBODY WHETHER MS. HOLMES WILL TESTIFY OR NOT.

BUT WHEN WE LOOK AT THE SITUATION, IF TRIAL ALREADY

1 03:39PM 2 03:39PM 3 03:39PM 4 03:39PM 03:40PM 5 6 03:40PM 03:40PM 8 03:40PM 9 THAT THAT'S REALLY A REALISTIC WAY. 03:40PM 10 03:40PM 03:40PM 11 12 03:40PM 13 03:40PM 14 03:40PM 15 03:40PM 16 03:40PM 17 03:40PM 18 03:40PM 03:40PM 19 20 03:40PM 21 03:40PM

22

23

24

25

03:40PM

03:40PM

03:41PM

03:41PM

STARTED AND WE STILL DIDN'T KNOW WHETHER MS. HOLMES WAS TESTIFYING OR NOT OR WHAT WAS GOING TO HAPPEN, YOU COULDN'T HAVE A SITUATION WHERE THERE'S A JOINT TRIAL AND ALL OF A SUDDEN THE EVIDENCE COMES IN AND THEN YOU SAY, SORRY, MR. BALWANI, SOME EVIDENCE HAS COME IN THAT IS PREJUDICIAL TO YOU, WE'RE JUST GOING TO DISMISS YOU OUT OF THE TRIAL. THAT'S NOT APPROPRIATE. THAT IS SO BURDENSOME TO MR. BALWANI, AND, FRANKLY, POSSIBLY A DOUBLE JEOPARDY ISSUE, THAT WE DON'T THINK

SO IT DOESN'T MATTER WHETHER WE KNOW WHETHER MS. HOLMES IS GOING TO TESTIFY OR NOT GOING TO TESTIFY. THE PROBLEM WE HAVE HERE IS THAT WE KNOW THAT SOME EVIDENCE, WHATEVER IT IS ON THIS ABUSE ISSUE, IS COMING IN, AND THAT'S WHAT IS PREJUDICIAL.

IN ADDITION, YOUR HONOR, AS I JUST SAID, THE PREJUDICE IS THE EVIDENCE COMING IN AT ALL.

THE GOVERNMENT HAS EVERYTHING THAT THEY NEED RIGHT NOW TO ARGUE AGAINST MR. BALWANI'S SEVERANCE MOTION IF THEY CHOOSE TO DO SO, FOR EXAMPLE, IF THEY HAVE ANY SUPPORT FOR THE NOTION THAT THERE SHOULD BE A JOINT TRIAL ON THE FACE OF ABUSE DEFENSE. WE CITED THREE FEDERAL CASES WHERE THE SAME ISSUE AROSE. IF THEY HAVE ANY ARGUMENT THAT THEY CAN HAVE A JOINT TRIAL WHEN THE CODEFENDANT IS PRESENTING EVIDENCE OF ABUSE OF THIS NATURE, THEN THEY SHOULD BRING IT FORWARD IN THEIR OPPOSITION AND THEY SHOULD DO THAT NOW.

AND THEN ONE OTHER THING, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT ADDRESSED

1 03:41PM 2 03:41PM 3 03:41PM 4 03:41PM 03:41PM 5 03:41PM 03:41PM 8 03:41PM 9 03:41PM 10 03:41PM 03:41PM 11 12 03:41PM 13 03:41PM 14 03:41PM 15 03:41PM 16 03:41PM 17 03:41PM 18 03:41PM 03:41PM 19 20 03:42PM 21 03:42PM 22 03:42PM 23 03:42PM 24 03:42PM 25 03:42PM

THIS ISSUE, JUST TO SWITCH, THE FDA DOCUMENTS ISSUE, THAT MAYBE

THIS ISN'T AS IMPORTANT BECAUSE WE'RE CHOOSING TO GO FORWARD

WITH DEPOSITIONS ON THE S.E.C. SIDE.

YOU KNOW, THE REASON WE'RE DOING THAT, AND I JUST WANT TO SAY SO WE'RE CLEAR ON THE RECORD, IS THAT WE REALLY DO NEED THE DOCUMENTS, AND WE'LL OBVIOUSLY BE RESERVING OUR RIGHT TO THESE DEPOSITIONS.

BUT WE DECIDED THAT FOR US TO BE PREPARED FOR A TRIAL TO START ON JULY 28TH, WHILE TRYING TO TAKE DEPOSITIONS IN THE SUMMER, WOULD JUST BE UNTENABLE. WE WOULD HAVE TO GET THESE DONE NOW SO WE CAN TURN ALL OF OUR ATTENTION TO THE CRIMINAL CASE AS THE MONTHS GO BY HERE, AND IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH NOT REALLY WANTING OR NEEDING THE FDA DOCUMENTS.

WE HAD ISSUED A SUBPOENA A LONG TIME AGO, BUT I DON'T WANT TO ARGUE THAT. I JUST WANT TO POINT OUT THAT WE'RE NOT GOING FORWARD WITH DEPOSITIONS BECAUSE WE DON'T CARE ABOUT THE FDA DOCUMENTS. WE VERY MUCH DO.

IN FACT, AS MR. CAZARES MAY HAVE SAID EARLIER IN THE DAY,
THE DOCUMENTS WE'RE GETTING FROM EVEN THE PRODUCTION THAT HAS
OCCURRED SO FAR UNDER THE COURT'S NOVEMBER 5TH ORDER IS
MATERIAL RULE 16, HIGHLY RELEVANT TO BOTH THE S.E.C. AND THE
DOJ CASES.

SO WHAT WE NEED, YOUR HONOR, AND AS WE HAVE SAID IN OUR BRIEFING, IS THAT WE NEED THE GOVERNMENT TO FILE ITS OPPOSITION TO OUR SEVERANCE MOTION AND WE NEED TO FILE OUR REPLY.

JUST

1 FRANKLY, I THINK WE CAN DEAL WITH DR. MECHANIC'S 03:42PM DECLARATION IN OUR REPLY JUST TO SAVE SOME TIME HERE, AND THEN 2 03:42PM WE CAN GET A RULING FROM THE COURT AS TO SEVERANCE OR NO 3 03:42PM 4 SEVERANCE SO WE KNOW WHAT WE'RE FACING AT TRIAL. 03:42PM THE GOVERNMENT'S SCHEDULE, WHILE THAT MIGHT WORK FOR THE 03:42PM 5 BATTLE BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT AND MS. HOLMES, JUST DOESN'T WORK 6 03:42PM FOR MR. BALWANI AND IS DEEPLY PREJUDICIAL TO HIS RIGHT TO A 03:42PM FAIR TRIAL. 8 03:42PM 9 THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU. MS. BAEHR-JONES. 03:42PM 10 MS. BAEHR-JONES: YES. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 03:42PM TO RESPOND TO MR. COOPERSMITH. I THINK THIS ALSO GOES BACK TO 03:42PM 11 12 A POINT THAT MR. DOWNEY MADE, WHICH IS THIS ASSUMPTION THAT 03:42PM 13 IT'S CLEARLY ADMISSIBLE AND IT'S VERY LIKELY GOING TO COME IN 03:42PM 14 AND THE EXPERT TESTIMONY IS VERY LIKELY GOING TO COME IN, AND I 03:42PM THINK THAT'S AN INCORRECT ASSUMPTION. 15 03:43PM WE DON'T EVEN HAVE YET AN EXPERT WHO SAID I BELIEVE THAT 16 03:43PM 17 THIS UNDERMINES FOR MENS REA. WE DON'T EVEN HAVE THAT, NOT 03:43PM 18 EVEN IN THE NOTICE. AND IN FACT, WHEN WE DID A SEARCH FOR PTSD 03:43PM 03:43PM 19 AND WIRE FRAUD, WE COULD FIND NO CASES IN WHICH SUCH A DEFENSE 20 03:43PM HAD BEEN RAISED. 21 MOREOVER, WHEN WE'VE INQUIRED -- YOU KNOW, WE'VE TRIED OUR 03:43PM 22 BEST TO TALK TO EXPERTS AS OUICKLY AS WE COULD. WE HAVE -- THE 03:43PM RESPONSE HAS SORT OF UNIVERSALLY BEEN THIS IS NOT A DEFENSE. 23 03:43PM 24 03:43PM 25 AS TO WHETHER THIS COMES IN AT A DAUBERT HEARING. I DO NOT 03:43PM

1 03:43PM 2 03:43PM 3 03:43PM 4 03:43PM 5 03:43PM 6 03:43PM 03:43PM 8 03:44PM 9 03:44PM 10 03:44PM 03:44PM 11 12 03:44PM 03:44PM 13 14 03:44PM 15 03:44PM 16 03:44PM 17 03:44PM 18 03:44PM 19 03:44PM 20 03:44PM 21 03:44PM 22 03:44PM 23 03:44PM 24 03:44PM

25

03:44PM

THINK THAT IT IS JUST VERY LIKELY. I THINK IT'S A BIG QUESTION FOR THE COURT TO REALLY CONSIDER.

THE COURT: WELL, THANK YOU. MR. LEACH.

MR. LEACH: AND I THINK IT UNDERSCORES, YOUR HONOR,
THERE ARE SO MANY UNKNOWNS ABOUT WHAT IS COMING IN AND WHAT THE
CONTOURS OF THE DEFENSE ARE.

MR. BALWANI'S MOTION WAS TRIGGERED BY THE PROSPECT THAT
THERE WAS GOING TO BE A 12.2 NOTICE.

LET'S SEE WHAT THAT LOOKS LIKE. LET'S KNOW WHAT WE'RE
DEALING WITH, AND THEN THE COURT WILL HAVE THE RECORD THAT IT
NEEDS TO MAKE AN EDUCATED DECISION ABOUT THIS.

I THINK THE WORSE OF ALL WORLDS WOULD BE WE MAKE A

SEVERANCE BASED ON INCOMPLETE INFORMATION RIGHT NOW, AND THEN

WE HAVE TWO TRIALS. ALL OF THAT -- EVERYTHING THAT ENTAILS

BASED ON A DEFENSE THAT NEVER COMES IN, BASED ON ANECDOTAL

INSTANCES OF ABUSE THAT MIGHT NOT PAINT SOMEBODY IN A

FLATTERING LIGHT BUT DON'T RISE TO THE LEVEL OF PREJUDICE THAT

REQUIRE SEPARATE TRIALS.

AND HAD THE GOVERNMENT HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD

ABOUT THIS BEFORE WE KNEW THIS WAS GOING TO BE RAISED, THE

DEFENSE DIDN'T NEED, BUT WE SET THESE DATES IN MOTION NOT

KNOWING THAT WE'RE GOING TO BE WRESTLING WITH THESE 12.2

MOTIONS THAT SEEM TO US THIS IS A PREMATURE MOTION AND LET'S

HAVE THAT FULSOME RECORD AND THAT WILL HELP EVERYBODY MAKE THE

BEST DECISION POSSIBLY ABOUT WHETHER SEVERANCE IS WARRANTED

HERE. 1 03:45PM THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU. 2 03:45PM MR. DOWNEY: YOUR HONOR, JUST TWO QUICK THINGS, ONE 3 03:45PM 03:45PM 4 OF WHICH IS A PROCESS THING. 5 THE CONFUSION ABOUT WHAT HAD BEEN SUBMITTED UNDER SEAL I 03:45PM THINK MAY HAVE DEPRIVED THE COURT OF CONSIDERING WHETHER IT 6 03:45PM WOULD ACCEPT OUR EX PARTE IN CAMERA SUBMISSION. I DRAW THAT TO 03:45PM THE COURT'S ATTENTION BECAUSE I THINK ITS RELEVANT TO SOME OF 8 03:45PM 9 THE COURT'S QUESTIONS TODAY. 03:45PM THE SECOND POINT TO RAISE FOR THE COURT'S CONSIDERATION --10 03:45PM ULTIMATELY THIS WILL ARISE IN CONSIDERATION OF THE MOTIONS --03:45PM 11 12 BUT JUST TO IDENTIFY FOR THE COURT, THE INVITATION THAT THE 03:45PM 13 GOVERNMENT IS EXTENDING TO THE COURT TO TRY TO TAILOR THE 03:45PM 14 PRESENTATION TO MINIMIZE PREJUDICE TO MR. BALWANI BEGINS TO 03:45PM CREATE DANGERS ON THE OTHER SIDE. 15 03:45PM MS. HOLMES, IF SHE CHOOSES, HAS A RIGHT TO PRESENT 16 03:45PM 17 EVIDENCE RELATED TO THIS DEFENSE, AND AS I HAVE INDICATED TO 03:45PM 18 THE COURT, SUCH A PRESENTATION IS LIKELY AND SUBSTANTIAL. 03:46PM 03:46PM 19 I THINK IT WOULD BE -- AS THE COURT CONSIDERS THESE ISSUES 20 AND THINKS ABOUT EVEN PROCESS GOING FORWARD, IT'S IMPORTANT TO 03:46PM 2.1 RECOGNIZE THAT THERE ARE DANGERS ON BOTH SIDES. TRYING TO 03:46PM 22 TAILOR THE PRESENTATION PRESENTS ALMOST AS MANY. 03:46PM THE COURT: I APPRECIATE THAT. I'M GRATEFUL FOR 23 03:46PM 24 THIS CONVERSATION. IT'S VERY UNIQUE CIRCUMSTANCES THAT PRESENT 03:46PM 25 HERE. 03:46PM

1 03:46PM 2 03:46PM 3 03:46PM 4 03:46PM 03:46PM 5 6 03:46PM 03:46PM 8 03:47PM 9 03:47PM 10 03:47PM 03:47PM 11 12 03:47PM 13 03:47PM 14 03:47PM 15 03:47PM 16 03:47PM 17 03:47PM 18 03:47PM 03:47PM 19 20 03:47PM 21 03:47PM 22 03:47PM 23 03:47PM 24 03:47PM 25 03:48PM

SO WHAT I'D LIKE TO DO IN REGARDS TO THE SEVERANCE MOTION

IS TO RELIEVE THE GOVERNMENT OF THEIR OBLIGATION TO FILE A

RESPONSE TODAY. I THINK THAT'S INAPPROPRIATE, AND I APPRECIATE

THE DEFENSE CONSIDERATION IN THAT REGARD.

WHAT I WOULD LIKE, THOUGH -- AND AGAIN, THIS IS REACHING

OVER TO YOUR SIDE, MR. DOWNEY -- THAT I THINK IT WOULD BE

HELPFUL JUST FOR THE RECORD TO HAVE THE DECLARATION SIGNED BY

THE DOCTOR AND PROVIDED IN SOME MANNER WITH SOME ATTESTATION AS

TO WHAT IT IS AND WHAT YOU DID. I THINK THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL

FOR THE COURT AT LEAST FOR THE RECORD AND WHEN THE COURT MAKES

A DECISION, IF I MAKE IT JUST BASED ON THAT OR IF I WANT MORE.

I THINK THAT'S APPROPRIATE.

MR. DOWNEY: WE'LL DO THAT, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: AND IF YOU COULD PROVIDE THAT TO YOUR COLLEAGUES, THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL.

MR. DOWNEY: WE CERTAINLY WILL.

THE COURT: AND AS TO MR. CAZARES, I DO THINK IT
WOULD BE HELPFUL TO ALLOW YOU TO AUGMENT, IF YOU WISH. I'LL
MAKE THE OPPORTUNITY AVAILABLE TO YOU IF YOUR TEAM WISHES TO
AUGMENT OR ADD TO YOUR INITIAL MOTION.

I RECOGNIZE THAT YOU FILED THE MOTION OUT OF AN ABUNDANCE OF CAUTION TO THE DEADLINES, AND YOU DIDN'T HAVE THE -- YOU HAD NOTICE THIS WAS GOING TO COME FORWARD, BUT YOU DIDN'T HAVE THE DECLARATION OF DR. MECHANIC, I DON'T BELIEVE YOU DID, AT THE TIME YOU FILED, BUT IT MIGHT BE HELPFUL TO STATE YOUR POSITION.

AND I'M NOT ASKING YOU FOR ANOTHER 25 PAGES, I DON'T THINK YOU 1 03:48PM NEED THAT, BUT I WOULD WELCOME AN OPPORTUNITY TO HEAR FROM YOUR 2 03:48PM TEAM AS TO WHAT YOU THINK, BASED ON THE CONVERSATIONS HERE, THE 3 03:48PM 4 REPRESENTATION BY COUNSEL AND I DON'T KNOW HOW MUCH TIME YOU 03:48PM NEED TO GET THAT TO US, AND THEN THAT WOULD THEN INFORM THE 03:48PM 5 TIME FOR YOUR OPPOSITION, LOOKING AT THE GOVERNMENT. 6 03:48PM MR. CAZARES: THAT WAS THE QUESTION I WAS GOING TO 03:48PM ASK THE COURT IS THE SCHEDULE FOR THIS. SOME OF OUR TEAM ARE 8 03:48PM BUSY WITH DEPOSITIONS AND SOME OF OUR TEAM ARE STARTING TRIAL 9 03:48PM 10 NEXT WEEK IN ANOTHER COURTROOM HERE IN THIS BUILDING. 03:48PM THE COURT: WHAT COULD BE MORE IMPORTANT THAN THIS 03:48PM 11 12 CASE? COME ON. 03:48PM 13 MR. BROWN: YOU CAN ASK JUDGE FREEMAN THAT, YOUR 03:48PM 14 HONOR. 03:48PM THE COURT: OKAY. 15 03:48PM 16 MR. CAZARES: SO BASED ON WHAT I UNDERSTAND IS GOING 03:48PM 17 ON RIGHT NOW, I WOULD LIKE A WEEK TO TEN DAYS, YOUR HONOR. 03:48PM 18 MAYBE MONDAY OF NEXT WEEK. 03:48PM 03:48PM 19 THE COURT: THAT'S FINE. I'M GOING TO LET THE 20 GOVERNMENT THINK ABOUT THIS. 03:48PM 21 LET ME ALSO SUGGEST THIS, THE OTHER QUESTION I HAVE IS 03:48PM 22 WHEN WE COME TO FEBRUARY 10TH, HOW ARE WE GOING TO ARGUE THESE 03:49PM MOTIONS IF ANY OF THESE MOTIONS THAT ARE GOING TO BE HEARD ON 23 03:49PM 24 FEBRUARY 10TH ARE UNDER SEAL? WHAT IS THE PROCESS FOR THAT? 03:49PM AND I INVITE YOUR SUGGESTIONS AS TO THAT. 25 03:49PM

1 BUT DO WE NEED TO AND SHOULD I SCHEDULE A SEPARATE HEARING 03:49PM FOR THE SEVERANCE? I'M HAPPY TO DO THAT. IT SOUNDS LIKE MAYBE 2 03:49PM THAT'S WHAT WE NEED TO DO OTHER THAN THE FEB 10 DATE. 3 03:49PM 4 MS. BAEHR-JONES: YOUR HONOR, THE GOVERNMENT DOES 03:49PM 03:49PM 5 ANTICIPATE THAT IT WILL LIKELY WANT TO HAVE EVIDENCE PRESENTED, 6 POTENTIALLY A GOVERNMENT EXPERT. WE MAY HAVE OUESTIONS FOR THE 03:49PM DEFENSE EXPERT. 03:49PM THE COURT: THAT'S INTERESTING. WHAT IF THEY CALL 8 03:49PM 9 YOUR DOCTOR? 03:49PM 10 MR. COOPERSMITH: YOUR HONOR, THIS IS 03:49PM JEFF COOPERSMITH. I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHAT MS. BAEHR-JONES 03:49PM 11 12 SAID. THAT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH OUR MOTION. 03:49PM 13 WHAT I WOULD SUGGEST, AS MR. CAZARES SAID, WE CAN GET OUR 03:49PM 14 SUPPLEMENTAL PLEADING, AND WE WILL TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THE 03:50PM 15 COURT'S OFFER, AND WE THANK YOU FOR THAT, AND GET THAT IN IN A 03:50PM 16 WEEK. 03:50PM 17 WE WOULD LIKE TO SEE THIS ALL BRIEFED SO THAT THE COURT 03:50PM 18 CAN HEAR MR. BALWANI'S SEVERANCE MOTION ON FEBRUARY 10TH. 03:50PM 19 AGREE WITH YOUR HONOR THAT THAT HEARING SHOULD BE SET ON THAT 03:50PM 20 DATE AS A SEALED HEARING BECAUSE OF THE ISSUES INVOLVED. 03:50PM 21 JUST ON THAT SCORE, I UNDERSTAND THAT THERE ARE A LOT OF 03:50PM 22 DOCUMENTS THAT HAVE BEEN FILED UNDER SEAL. I THINK HALF OF 03:50PM 23 THEM ARE PROBABLY ADMINISTRATIVE MOTIONS TO SEAL, AND IF THE 03:50PM 24 COURT WERE TO GIVE GUIDANCE THAT PLEADINGS AND FILINGS RELATED 03:50PM 25 TO THIS ISSUE COULD FILED UNDER SEAL, WE COULD PROBABLY 03:50PM

1 03:50PM 2 03:50PM 3 03:50PM 4 03:50PM 03:50PM 5 6 03:50PM 03:51 PM 8 03:51PM 9 03:51PM 10 03:51PM 03:51PM 11 12 03:51PM 03:51PM 13 14 03:51PM 15 03:51PM 16 03:51PM 17 03:51PM 18 03:51PM 19 03:51PM 20 03:51PM 21 03:51PM 22 03:51PM 23 03:51PM 24 03:51PM 25 03:52PM

DISPENSE WITH ABOUT HALF OF THE SEALED FILINGS BECAUSE THERE ARE ADMINISTRATIVE MOTIONS TO SEAL THAT ACCOMPANY THE DECLARATIONS.

BUT PUTTING THAT ASIDE, WE THINK IT IS APPROPRIATE TO SET THAT HEARING ON MR. BALWANI'S SEVERANCE MOTION ON FEBRUARY 10TH.

WE WOULD LIKE TO GET OUR BRIEF IN AS SOON AS WE CAN. WE CAN DO A SUPPLEMENTAL. WE'LL EVEN GET OUR REPLY IN SO THAT IT'S TIMELY FOR FEBRUARY 10TH. THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD GET THEIR OPPOSITION IN SO THAT THE COURT AND WE CAN ALL CONSIDER IT IN TIME FOR THAT FEBRUARY 10TH HEARING.

AS WE SAID IN OUR MOTION TO SEAL, AND I KNOW THE COURT HAS PROVISIONALLY SEALED THINGS, AND WE APPRECIATE THAT, THIS IS SO DEEPLY PREJUDICE TO MR. BALWANI AND IT'S GOING TO SO TAINT THE JURY POOL. MR. LEACH AND THE GOVERNMENT HAS ARGUED THAT WE HAVEN'T SHOWN ENOUGH IN TERMS OF THE TAINT TO THE JURY POOL.

I DON'T KNOW WHAT MORE WE CAN DO, YOUR HONOR. THIS IS THE MOST HIGH PROFILE CASE THAT HAS COME AROUND IN QUITE A WHILE.

THERE'S A THEATRICAL FILM COMING OUT OF ALL THINGS. WE'VE LAID ALL OF THIS OUT IN OUR BRIEFING.

TO GET MR. BALWANI A FAIR TRIAL, WE THINK THAT THE

GOVERNMENT AND THE COURT SHOULD DO EVERYTHING POSSIBLE TO KEEP

THIS JURY POOL FREE FROM THAT TAINT. WE'RE NOT ASKING FOR

EVERYTHING TO BE SEALED FOR ALL TIMES. IF THE SEVERANCE MOTION

IS GRANTED, AND OBVIOUSLY WE THINK IT SHOULD BE, AFTER

MR. BALWANI'S TRIAL THERE WON'T BE ANY SEALED FILINGS ON THIS 1 03:52PM POINT ANYMORE, AND IT WILL BE OUT THERE. 2 03:52PM SO WE NEED TO HAVE IT SEALED REALLY FOR THE TIME UNTIL 3 03:52PM 4 MR. BALWANI COMPLETES HIS TRIAL TO MAKE SURE THAT WE CAN HAVE A 03:52PM FAIR TRIAL, AND WE THINK THAT SHOULD HAPPEN AND THE SAME GOES 03:52PM 5 FOR THE FEBRUARY 10TH HEARING. 6 03:52PM THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. I NOTE THAT 03:52PM THERE ARE OTHER MOTIONS ON CALENDAR FOR FEBRUARY 10TH, AND I'M 8 03:52PM NOT SURE THAT WE CAN CAPTURE EVERYTHING IN ONE DAY BASED ON THE 9 03:52PM RECORD AND THE PLEADINGS THAT ARE ON FILE. 10 03:52PM YOU'RE NODDING IN AGREEMENT. 03:52PM 11 12 MR. DOWNEY: I TEND TO AGREE, YOUR HONOR. I THINK 03:52PM 13 THERE'S A FAIR AMOUNT IN ANY EVENT FOR THAT DAY, AND IT MAY BE 03:52PM 14 BEST TO SELECT A SEPARATE DAY FOR HEARING ON SEVERANCE. 03:52PM THE COURT: AND, MS. KRATZMANN, CAN YOU JUST REMIND 15 03:52PM US, THE CALENDAR ON FEBRUARY 10TH. THAT'S OUR REGULAR CRIMINAL 16 03:52PM 17 CALENDAR. THESE ARE CALENDARED FOR 10:00 A.M.? 03:52PM 18 THE CLERK: YES, YOUR HONOR, THAT'S CORRECT. 03:53PM 03:53PM 19 THE COURT: SO THAT GIVES US TWO HOURS BEFORE THE 20 1:30 CALENDAR. I THINK THAT WAS PROBABLY POOR JUDGMENT ON MY 03:53PM 2.1 PART TO SCHEDULE IT THAT WAY. 03:53PM 22 IS IT POSSIBLE TO RESET ANYTHING ON OUR 1:30 CALENDAR TO 03:53PM MAYBE TUESDAY OR WEDNESDAY? OR DO WE HAVE --23 03:53PM 24 THE CLERK: IT IS SET RATHER LIGHT, YOUR HONOR, 03:53PM ALTHOUGH YOU DO HAVE TWO SENTENCINGS, ONE AT 1:30 AND THEN TWO 25 03:53PM

03:53PM	1	STATUSES, AND THEN THE SENTENCING OF BRYN HANLEY AT 2:00.
03:53PM	2	CURRENTLY WE DO NOT HAVE ANYTHING SCHEDULED FOR
03:53PM	3	FEBRUARY 11TH OR THE 12TH IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO SPECIAL SET.
03:53PM	4	THE COURT: MAYBE WHAT I'LL DO IS SEE ABOUT MOVING
03:53PM	5	THE OTHER CRIMINAL CALENDAR FROM THE 10TH TO THE 11TH OR 12TH
03:53PM	6	WHICH WOULD GIVE US THE ENTIRETY OF THE DAY.
03:53PM	7	MR. DOWNEY: YOUR HONOR, THAT SOUNDS FINE. MAY I
03:54PM	8	JUST LOOK AT MY CALENDAR?
03:54PM	9	THE COURT: YES, PLEASE. GO RIGHT AHEAD.
03:54PM	10	(DISCUSSION AMONGST COUNSEL OFF THE RECORD.)
03:54PM	11	THE COURT: MR. DOWNEY, IT'S REFRESHING TO SEE YOU
03:54PM	12	REFER TO A PAPER CALENDAR.
03:54PM	13	(LAUGHTER.)
03:54PM	14	MR. DOWNEY: THE 11TH OR 12TH IS FINE ON OUR SIDE,
03:55PM	15	YOUR HONOR.
03:55PM	16	THE COURT: WELL, NO. I WAS SAYING THAT WHAT WE'LL
03:55PM	17	DO IS WE'LL RESERVE I'LL MOVE SOME THINGS SO THAT WE HAVE
03:55PM	18	THE 10TH.
03:55PM	19	MR. DOWNEY: OKAY.
03:55PM	20	THE COURT: ALL DAY THE 10TH. I WON'T MOVE THINGS
03:55PM	21	TO THE 11TH SHOULD WE NEED TO CONTINUE TO THE NEXT DAY. I'LL
03:55PM	22	KEEP THAT OPEN FOR US, TOO, IF YOU WOULD DO THE SAME.
03:55PM	23	MR. DOWNEY: THAT'S FINE, YOUR HONOR.
03:55PM	24	THE COURT: OKAY.
03:55PM	25	MR. DOWNEY: AND, YOUR HONOR, MIGHT I JUST

03:55PM	1	THE COURT: MR. CAZARES, DOES THAT WORK FOR YOU?
03:55PM	2	MR. CAZARES: THE 10TH, YES, YOUR HONOR.
03:55PM	3	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
03:55PM	4	MR. DOWNEY: AND MIGHT I SUGGEST THAT WE FOLLOW FOR
03:55PM	5	NOW, GIVEN THE PROVISIONAL SEALING, IF WE FOLLOW A PROCEDURE
03:55PM	6	SIMILAR TO TODAY, I THINK THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL.
03:55PM	7	THE COURT: I, I THINK I'D LIKE TO GET AN ORDER OUT
03:55PM	8	FOR YOUR BENEFIT THAT SUGGESTS THAT IF YOU'RE GOING TO SEAL
03:55PM	9	SOMETHING, WE DON'T HAVE TO GO THROUGH THAT WHOLE PROCESS AND
03:55PM	10	THE WERE THERE OPPOSITIONS TO ADMINISTRATIVE MOTIONS TO
03:55PM	11	SEAL? WERE THERE ACTUALLY
03:55PM	12	MR. LEACH: YOUR HONOR, THERE HAVE BEEN OPPOSITIONS
03:55PM	13	TO THE MOTIONS TO SEAL THE SEVERANCE MOTIONS.
03:55PM	14	WE THINK WHOLESALE SEALING HERE IS INAPPROPRIATE. THERE
03:56PM	15	ARE LARGE SWATHS OF THE PLEADINGS THAT CAN BE MADE PUBLIC.
03:56PM	16	IT'S A VERY, VERY HIGH STANDARD TO SEAL A COURTROOM LIKE THIS
03:56PM	17	AND TO SEAL PLEADINGS THE WAY THE DEFENDANTS ARE REQUESTING
03:56PM	18	HERE, AND WE DON'T THINK THAT THEY'VE COME CLOSE TO SATISFYING
03:56PM	19	IT.
03:56PM	20	THE COURT: OKAY.
03:56PM	21	MR. LEACH: SO WE'VE PRESERVED OUR RIGHTS AND
03:56PM	22	OPPOSE I DON'T THINK WE'VE OPPOSED ALL OF THEM, BUT WE'VE
03:56PM	23	BASICALLY SAID THE COURT HAS RULED PRELIMINARILY ON THIS.
03:56PM	24	BUT THE GOVERNMENT OBJECTS TO SEALING.
03:56PM	25	THE COURT: WELL, LET ME SAY I'M LOATHE ALSO TO GIVE

1 WHOLESALE PERMISSION TO SEAL THINGS BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, I THINK 03:56PM I'M PRETTY CLOSE TO FINISH SQUEEZING THE SPONGE ON SEALING 2 03:56PM DOCUMENTS HERE, I THINK I REALLY AM. 3 03:56PM 4 03:56PM 03:56PM 5 HIM ADDRESS IT. 6 03:56PM 03:56PM 8 03:56PM 9 ISSUE. 03:56PM THE COURT: RIGHT. MR. CAZARES. 10 03:56PM 03:57PM 11 03:57PM 12 13 03:57PM 14 03:57PM 15 03:57PM 16 LEAK TO THE PUBLIC. 03:57PM 17 IT'S OUR HOPE TO GET TO TRIAL BEFORE THAT HAPPENS. AND 03:57PM 18 03:57PM 03:57PM 19 20 03:57PM 21 03:57PM 22 03:57PM 23 03:57PM

24

25

03:57PM

03:57PM

THE COURT: WELL, AS YOU KNOW, THERE'S A VOIR DIRE

MR. DOWNEY: THIS IS MR. BALWANI'S ISSUE SO I'LL LET

WE HAVE ISSUES THAT PROBABLY WOULD REQUIRE SOME REDACTION BECAUSE THERE'S PERSONAL HEALTH INFORMATION AND THAT TYPE OF THING, BUT I THINK THE SEALING ISSUE IS REALLY MR. BALWANI'S

MR. CAZARES: YOUR HONOR, THE SEALING ISSUE AND THE RISKS RAISED BY UNSEALING DOCUMENTS HERE GOES TO THE HEART OF OUR SEVERANCE MOTION AND WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO PROTECT, WHICH IS A FAIR TRIAL IN THIS DISTRICT THIS YEAR BEFORE NEWS WORD OF THE DEFENSES THAT ARE GOING TO BE APPARENTLY RAISED BY MS. HOLMES

THE CHANCE OF SOME SORT OF -- OBVIOUSLY, I WOULDN'T BLAME ANYONE HERE IN THE COURTROOM, BUT THE CHANCE OF A MISTAKE BY SOMEONE, WHETHER IT'S MY OFFICE, THE CLERK'S OFFICE, SOMEWHERE, AND WORD LEAKS THROUGH A DOCUMENT THAT IS INSUFFICIENTLY REDACTED OR NOT REDACTED AT ALL, ALL OF THE WORK THAT WE WOULD HAVE DONE UP UNTIL NOW TO TRY TO ENSURE A FAIR TRIAL WOULD BE FOR NOT.

1 03:57PM 2 03:57PM 3 03:57PM 4 03:58PM 03:58PM 5 6 03:58PM 03:58PM 8 03:58PM 9 03:58PM 10 03:58PM 03:58PM 11 12 03:58PM 13 03:58PM 14 03:58PM 15 03:58PM 16 03:58PM 17 03:58PM 18 03:58PM 03:58PM 19 20 03:58PM 21 03:58PM 22 03:59PM 23 03:59PM 24 03:59PM 25 03:59PM

PROCESS THAT IS VERY EXTENSIVE, THERE ARE QUESTIONNAIRES THAT ARE USED, AND JURORS ARE PLACED UNDER OATH AT PERIL OF PERJURY TO GIVE THEIR RESPONSES.

MR. CAZARES: AND AS THE COURT KNOWS, IN HIGH
PROFILE CASES THAT VOIR DIRE CAN BE VERY DIFFICULT,
CHALLENGING, PARTICULARLY GIVEN THE SUBJECT MATTER OF THE ISSUE
HERE, THE LIKELY NEED TO VOIR DIRE JURORS ON AN INDIVIDUAL
BASIS OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE REST OF THE POOL THAT YOU
WOULDN'T WANT TO TAINT, THE HOPE THAT THOSE JURORS ARE KEEPING
WORDS OF THE ISSUES RAISED IN THEIR ANSWERS TO THEMSELVES, THE
FACT THAT THEY'RE BEING ACTUALLY HONEST AND NOT LYING TO TRY TO
GET ON TO A JURY IN A HIGH PROFILE CASE HAPPENS AS WELL.

ALL OF THAT, YOUR HONOR, SUGGESTS THAT THE NEED TO KEEP

THESE MATTERS SEALED FOR AS LONG AS POSSIBLE TO ENSURE

MR. BALWANI A FAIR TRIAL IS REALLY IMPORTANT.

IF THE COURT IS IN ANY WAY CONSIDERING UNSEALING MATTERS,

ONE, WE OBJECT IF THEY TOUCH UPON THIS ISSUE BECAUSE I DO THINK

IT IS THAT ISSUE.

EVEN THIS IDEA OF REDACTING DOCUMENTS IS GOING TO INVITE SPECULATION FROM THE PRESS HERE. OH, THERE'S A MOTION TO SEVER, BUT WE, THE PRESS, DON'T KNOW THE BASIS.

WELL, LET'S GO TALK TO SOME FORMER AUSA'S, SOME FORMER

DEFENSE LAWYERS, AND SOME FORMER FEDERAL JUDGES. WHAT ARE THE

BASES FOR A SEVERANCE IN THIS KIND OF CASE, MALE, FEMALE

DEFENDANTS FACING FEDERAL CRIMINAL CHARGES? THERE AREN'T THAT

1 MANY, YOUR HONOR. IT'S A HANDFUL OF BASES. IT INVITES 03:59PM SPECULATION, AND IT'S GOING TO INVITE SPECULATION IN THE 2 03:59PM PUBLIC. ARTICLES WE HAVE WRITTEN ABOUT IT, THAT'S WHAT WE ON 3 03:59PM 4 OUR SIDE ARE REALLY HOPING TO AVOID, AND THAT'S WHY FOR US THE 03:59PM SEALING IS MORE IMPORTANT TO ANYBODY ELSE, IN PARTICULAR THE 03:59PM 5 6 GOVERNMENT, AND I UNDERSTAND THE GOVERNMENT'S ISSUES AND THE 03:59PM POLICY REASONS WHY YOU WANT A PUBLIC TRIAL. 03:59PM A PUBLIC TRIAL, THAT'S WHAT ALL OF THESE RULES ARE 8 03:59PM 9 ENTITLED TO PRESERVE, NOT A PUBLIC KIND OF READING AND 03:59PM DISCLOSING AND PUBLICIZING OF ALL ELEMENTS OF EVERY PART OF A 10 03:59PM CASE. THAT IS NOT NECESSARY. 03:59PM 11 12 THE GOVERNMENT ITSELF, THE GRAND JURY TRANSCRIPTS ARE 03:59PM 13 SEALED AND REMAIN SEALED FOREVER UNTIL SOME SPECIAL SITUATION 03:59PM 14 WARRANTS THEIR DISCLOSURE, DESPITE THE FACT THAT THEY ARE ALSO 04:00PM 15 A PUBLIC MATTER INVOLVING THE PUBLIC, PUBLIC CHARGES AND 04:00PM 16 TRIALS. 04:00PM 17 04:00PM 18 PUBLIC, BUT I THINK I CAPTURE YOUR MEANING. 04:00PM 04:00PM 19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

04:00PM

04:00PM

04:00PM

04:00PM

04:00PM

04:00PM

THE COURT: WELL, GRAND JURY PROCEEDINGS AREN'T

MR. CAZARES: THEY INVOLVE THE PUBLIC AND PUBLIC CHARGES AND PUBLIC MATTERS.

THE COURT: RIGHT. WELL, WHEN WE INVITE HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS AND COLLEGE STUDENTS AND OTHERS, AND GRAMMAR SCHOOL STUDENTS TO COME VISIT OUR COURTS, ONE OF THE THINGS WE PROUDLY TELL THEM IS THAT THESE ARE YOUR COURTS AND THEY BELONG TO YOU AND THEY'RE ALWAYS OPEN BECAUSE THAT'S THE LAW, THE COURTROOMS

1 ARE OPEN FOR EVERYONE TO COME AND ENJOY AND WATCH AND LISTEN 04:00PM AND LEARN OF THEIR COMMUNITY. 2 04:00PM SO TO MR. LEACH'S POINT, THE ANTITHESIS TO THAT IS SEALING 3 04:00PM 04:00PM 4 COURTROOMS. WE DON'T HAVE DARK CHAMBERS AND THOSE TYPES OF 04:00PM 5 THINGS. 6 MR. CAZARES: NO. AND WE DON'T DISAGREE WITH THAT, 04:00PM YOUR HONOR. 04:00PM THE COURT: WE'RE ALSO COGNIZANT OF PROTECTING 8 04:00PM 9 PARTY'S INTERESTS TO THE EXTENT WE CAN. WE'RE IN A DIFFERENT 04:00PM AGE NOW, OF COURSE. WE KNOW NEWS FLASHES IN MINUTES. THAT IS 10 04:00PM 04:00PM 11 TO YOUR POINT, THINGS CAN GO GLOBAL IN A MINUTE. 12 BUT ALSO CONCURRENT WITH THAT IS THINGS ARE FORGOTTEN IN A 04:00PM 13 MINUTE AND SOMETHING ELSE MORE IMPORTANT COMES UP IN THE NEWS, 04:01PM 14 AND THE ATTENTION SPAN OF FOLKS NEWS WISE IS MINUTES IF NOT 04:01PM 15 SECONDS. 04:01PM 16 SO ALL OF THESE THINGS I CAPTURE, AND THEY'RE ALL THINGS 04:01PM 17 THAT WE ALL CONSIDER WHEN WE LOOK AT THIS. 04:01PM 18 IT IS UNUSUAL, AND I THINK WE ALL AGREE, IT'S UNUSUAL TO 04:01PM 04:01PM 19 SEAL A COURTROOM, IT IS. 20 AND TODAY I FOUND IT WAS APPROPRIATE BECAUSE WE'RE 04:01PM 21 TOUCHING ON THESE SUBJECTS TO TALK ABOUT A DEFENDANT'S 04:01PM 22 SITUATION. 04:01PM MR. CAZARES: I WAS AT THE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 23 04:01PM LONG ENOUGH, YOUR HONOR, TO BE AWARE OF QUITE A FEW SEALING OF 24 04:01PM 25 PROCEEDINGS THAT WAS REQUESTED BY THE GOVERNMENT AND REQUESTED 04:01PM

04:01PM	1	BY THE DEFENSE.
04:01PM	2	SO IT'S NOT SOMETHING THAT HAPPENS ONCE IN A MILLENIA. IT
04:01PM	3	HAPPENS. IT'S NOT FAVORED, AND I CLEARLY UNDERSTAND WHY, BUT
04:01PM	4	THERE ARE GOOD REASONS FOR SEALING A COURTROOM IN CERTAIN
04:01PM	5	SITUATIONS WHERE
04:01PM	6	THE COURT: I FOUND THEM TODAY, AND THAT'S WHY I
04:01PM	7	SEALED THIS PROCEEDING.
04:01PM	8	MR. CAZARES: I UNDERSTAND, YOUR HONOR. I WAS
04:01PM	9	MAKING THE POINT. SORRY ABOUT THAT. I DON'T MEAN TO BELABOR
04:01PM	10	IT.
04:01PM	11	THE COURT: I FOUND IT TODAY, AND THAT'S WHY IT'S
04:01PM	12	SEALED TODAY.
04:02PM	13	SO WHAT SHOULD WE DO? LET'S TALK ABOUT A SCHEDULE. NOW
04:02PM	14	THAT WE'VE CAPTURED THAT WE'RE GOING TO MEET ALL DAY ON THE
04:02PM	15	10TH AND PERHAPS ON THE 11TH, WHAT ABOUT FILING SCHEDULES?
04:02PM	16	MR. CAZARES: IT SOUNDS LIKE AFTER CONFERRING WITH
04:02PM	17	MR. COOPERSMITH, OUR TEAM IS GOOD WITH ONE WEEK FOR FILING THE
04:02PM	18	SUPPLEMENTAL. SO NEXT WEEK.
04:02PM	19	THE COURT: IS THAT WHAT YOU SAID, MR. COOPERSMITH?
04:02PM	20	MR. COOPERSMITH: YES, YOUR HONOR. WE WOULD DO IT
04:02PM	21	IN EVEN A SHORTER TIME IF NECESSARY BECAUSE WE WANT TO MAKE
04:02PM	22	SURE THAT THE GOVERNMENT HAS THAT AND GETS THEIR OPPOSITION IN
04:02PM	23	AND WE CAN GET OUR REPLY IN.
04:02PM	24	SO IF WE HAD A WEEK, THAT WOULD PUT US ON THE 20TH.
04:02PM	25	THE COURT: OKAY.

04:02PM	1	MR. COOPERSMITH: FRANKLY, I THINK THAT WOULD WORK
04:02PM	2	BECAUSE THE GOVERNMENT WOULD HAVE REALLY UNTIL THE END OF THE
04:02PM	3	MONTH, AND WE CAN GET A REPLY IN BEFORE FEBRUARY 10TH. SO IF
04:02PM	4	WE HAD A WEEK
04:02PM	5	THE COURT: LET ME ASK THE GOVERNMENT YOUR POSITION
04:02PM	6	ABOUT YOUR OPPOSITION THEN. IF YOU RECEIVE THIS, THIS IS
04:02PM	7	CONDITION OF MR. WADE GETTING ALL EXCUSE ME MR. DOWNEY
04:02PM	8	GETTING US THE SIGNED COPY.
04:02PM	9	MS. BAEHR-JONES: YES, YOUR HONOR, THAT WAS GOING TO
04:02PM	10	BE MY QUESTION IS WHEN WOULD WE GET THE SIGNED COPY OF THE
04:03PM	11	DECLARATION?
04:03PM	12	AND THE OTHER MATTER I WANTED TO RAISE IS JUST WE'RE GOING
04:03PM	13	TO ASK FOR A REVERSE <u>JENCKS</u> FOR DR. MECHANIC, AND WE WANTED TO
04:03PM	14	ASK FOR A SCHEDULE ON THAT AS WELL BECAUSE SHE HAS A LOT OF
04:03PM	15	NOTES.
04:03PM	16	THE COURT: SURE. SO INITIALLY THE RESPONSE TO
04:03PM	17	MS. BAEHR-JONES: WE HAVE NO OBJECTION TO THE
04:03PM	18	RESPONSE WITHIN A WEEK AND THEIR FILING OF A NEW BRIEF WITHIN A
04:03PM	19	WEEK, AND THEN I THINK WE CAN GET SOMETHING BY THE END OF THE
04:03PM	20	MONTH.
04:03PM	21	MR. LEACH: THE 31ST.
04:03PM	22	MS. BAEHR-JONES: THE 31ST, YOUR HONOR.
04:03PM	23	THE COURT: FRIDAY THE 31ST.
04:03PM	24	IS THE THIRD A HOLIDAY?
04:03PM	25	THE CLERK: OF FEBRUARY, YOUR HONOR?

04:03PM	1	THE COURT: YES.
04:03PM	2	THE CLERK: NO. THE 17TH IS A HOLIDAY.
04:03PM	3	THE COURT: OKAY. GOTCHA.
04:03PM	4	AND CLOSE OF BUSINESS THE 31ST OR DO YOU WANT THE 3RD?
04:03PM	5	MR. LEACH: WE'LL TAKE THE 3RD.
04:03PM	6	THE COURT: OKAY. END OF BUSINESS THE 3RD.
04:04PM	7	MR. COOPERSMITH: YOUR HONOR, IF WE GOT IT THE 31ST
04:04PM	8	BY EVEN THE END OF THE DAY, THEN WE WOULD HAVE THAT WEEKEND TO
04:04PM	9	START CRACKING ON OUR BRIEF. SO THAT WOULD BE IDEAL.
04:04PM	10	I'LL JUST POINT OUT, THE GOVERNMENT HAS HAD OUR MOTION FOR
04:04PM	11	SEVERANCE SINCE DECEMBER 3RD, SO THIS IS NOT SOMETHING THAT
04:04PM	12	THEY'RE JUST GETTING, AND THEY SHOULD REALLY, AS THE COURT HAS
04:04PM	13	POINTED OUT, THE RESPONSE WAS ACTUALLY DUE TODAY, BUT WE
04:04PM	14	UNDERSTAND THAT THEY'RE GOING TO BE GIVEN MORE TIME.
04:04PM	15	THE COURT: WELL, MR. COOPERSMITH, THERE'S NEW
04:04PM	16	WE'RE HAVING A DIFFERENT DISCUSSION NOW AND THERE'S
04:04PM	17	MR. LEACH: IF MR. COOPERSMITH WANTS THE WEEKEND TO
04:04PM	18	WORK, I'M HAPPY TO GIVE IT TO HIM. THE GOVERNMENT WILL FILE
04:04PM	19	ITS OPPOSITION ON THE 31ST.
04:04PM	20	MS. BAEHR-JONES: YOUR HONOR, IS THERE JUST TO
04:04PM	21	FOLLOW UP ON THAT SO WE CAN RESPOND TO THE DECLARATION AND
04:04PM	22	REVERSE <u>JENCKS</u> .
04:04PM	23	ARE THERE GOING TO BE DEADLINES FOR THOSE?
04:04PM	24	MR. DOWNEY: WE DON'T YET HAVE. WE HAVE A <u>JENCKS</u>
04:04PM	25	DISCLOSURE DEADLINE FROM THE GOVERNMENT IN EARLY FEBRUARY, SO

1 SOME TIME THEREAFTER. I ASSUME THE COURT WILL SET A DATE FOR 04:05PM 2 REVERSE JENCKS. 04:05PM MS. BAEHR-JONES: WELL, IF DR. MECHANIC IS 3 04:05PM 04:05PM 4 TESTIFYING ON THE 10TH, PRESUMABLY THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD HAVE 04:05PM 5 SOME AMOUNT OF TIME TO REVIEW HER JENCKS MATERIAL BEFORE THE 6 HEARING ITSELF. 04:05PM MR. DOWNEY: YOUR HONOR, I WASN'T UNDERSTANDING TO 04:05PM HEAR THAT DR. MECHANIC WOULD BE TESTIFYING ON THE 10TH. 8 04:05PM DON'T THINK WE'RE THERE YET. 9 04:05PM THE COURT: I'M NOT -- I MADE A COMMENT ABOUT IT 10 04:05PM SOUNDS LIKE SHE'S GOING TO SUBPOENA YOUR DOCTOR. 04:05PM 11 12 MS. BAEHR-JONES: WELL, YOUR HONOR, IF THEY SUBMIT A 04:05PM 13 DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION, THEN MY UNDERSTANDING 04:05PM 14 OF THE RULES IS THAT WE WOULD HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO 04:05PM CROSS-EXAMINE THAT DECLARANT AND WE WOULD BE ENTITLED TO THEIR 15 04:05PM 16 JENCKS MATERIAL, JUST LIKE THE GOVERNMENT WOULD PROVIDE ANY 04:05PM 17 NOTES OR ANY REPORTS THAT ARE JENCKS WHEN ITS WITNESS TAKES THE 04:05PM 18 STAND, AND JENCKS ATTACHES BASED ON THE HEARING, NOT BASED 04:05PM 04:06PM 19 ON -- IT ATTACHES WHEN SOMEBODY TAKES THE STAND. 20 04:06PM MR. DOWNEY: LET ME SEPARATE OUT A FEW THINGS AND 2.1 TAKE A STEP BACK BECAUSE I'M ACTUALLY CONFUSED BASED ON 04:06PM 22 COUNSEL'S COMMENTS. 04:06PM MAY I JUST INQUIRE WHAT SCHEDULE WAS THE COURT 23 04:06PM 24 ANTICIPATING WITH REGARD TO ANY MOTION PENDING FROM MS. HOLMES? 04:06PM THE SAME SCHEDULE OR A DIFFERENT ONE? 25 04:06PM

1 THE COURT: WELL, I'M NOT DISTURBING YOUR SCHEDULE. 04:06PM WHAT I'M DOING IS HEARING THE SEVERANCE MOTION AS TO 2 04:06PM 3 MR. BALWANI FIRST. 04:06PM 4 MR. DOWNEY: OKAY. 04:06PM THE COURT: AND THAT'S WHAT I'D LIKE TO DO. 04:06PM 5 MS. BAEHR-JONES: YOUR HONOR, JUST TO CLARIFY, THE 04:06PM SEVERANCE MOTION THAT MR. BALWANI IS GOING TO BE FILING, THIS 04:06PM ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WILL BE RELATING TO THE DECLARATION OF 8 04:06PM 9 DR. MECHANIC. 04:06PM THE COURT: THAT'S RIGHT. AND THAT'S THE -- I THINK 10 04:06PM THAT'S THE PROBLEM WITH THE CAROUSEL HERE IS THAT HIS MOTION IS 04:06PM 11 12 DEPENDENT, OR RELIES I SHOULD SAY, ON A DECLARATION FILED BY 04:06PM 13 THE CODEFENDANT IN THE CASE. WE'RE GOING TO GET A SIGNED COPY 04:06PM 14 OF HER DECLARATION, ATTESTATION, WHATEVER YOU WANT TO CALL IT. 04:07PM THAT WILL PROBABLY BE BY THE END OF THIS WEEK I EXPECT. 15 04:07PM 16 MR. DOWNEY: I WOULD EXPECT SO, YOUR HONOR. AGAIN, 04:07PM 17 AND WE'VE COVERED THIS EXTENSIVELY, AGAIN, THAT IS A 04:07PM 18 DECLARATION ABOUT PRESENT STATE OF MIND. IT DOES NOT REFLECT 04:07PM 04:07PM 19 OR WILL NOT BE NECESSARILY HELPFUL TO THE COURT IN CONDUCTING AN INQUIRY OF WHAT IS LIKELY TO BE ADMITTED AT TRIAL OR WHAT IS 04:07PM 20 21 ADMISSIBLE AT TRIAL, WHICH IS I THINK THE INQUIRY THAT THE 04:07PM 22 COURT WAS SEEKING. 04:07PM THE COURT: THE ISSUE THAT RELATES TO MR. BALWANI'S 23 04:07PM 24 SEVERANCE MOTION IS I SUPPOSE THE TYPE OF EVIDENCE THAT IS 04:07PM 25 GOING TO BE OFFERED BASED ON THIS -- THE DECLARATION, BUT IF 04:07PM

1 04:07PM 2 04:07PM 3 04:07PM 4 04:08PM 04:08PM 5 04:08PM 04:08PM 8 04:08PM 9 04:08PM 10 04:08PM 04:08PM 11 12 04:08PM 13 04:08PM 14 04:08PM 15 04:08PM 16 04:08PM 17 04:08PM 18 04:08PM 04:09PM 19 04:09PM 20 2.1 04:09PM 22 04:09PM 23 04:09PM 24 04:09PM

25

04:09PM

THAT TYPE OF EVIDENCE IS GOING TO BE OFFERED EITHER BY A FACT WITNESS, A THIRD PARTY, OR BY THE DEFENDANT IN THE CASE.

WE'VE HEARD MR. DOWNEY TALK ABOUT THE HIGH EXPECTATIONS, I GUESS. I DON'T THINK HE USED THAT PHRASE, BUT A SUGGESTION THAT THERE WILL BE AT LEAST THAT EVIDENCE OFFERED.

MS. BAEHR-JONES: BUT DOESN'T THAT, YOUR HONOR,

DEPEND ON THE FACT THAT AN EXPERT IS ASSERTING THAT THERE IS A

12.2 DEFENSE HERE AND FOR THAT TO BE A FACT THAT IS IN THE

RECORD BEFORE THE COURT THERE HAS TO BE SOME DECLARATION FROM

DR. MECHANIC SAYING, "I CAN MAKE THAT FINDING. I'M GOING TO

MAKE THIS FINDING IF THERE'S A CONNECTION BETWEEN THESE SET OF

FACTS AND THE DIAGNOSIS FOR THESE SET OF FACTS AND A MENS REA

OF THE DEFENDANT."

IF THERE IS NOTHING IN THE RECORD -- AND THE GOVERNMENT
WANTS TO RESPOND TO THIS -- IF THERE'S NOTHING IN THE RECORD,
THE GOVERNMENT CAN'T RESPOND TO THAT POINT, AND THERE'S NOTHING
THAT SUPPORTS DEFENDANT BALWANI'S MOTION.

THE COURT: WELL, I -- IF A FACT -- IF A DEFENDANT,

IF A DEFENDANT IN THE CASE IS GOING TO TESTIFY ABOUT FACTS THAT

A CODEFENDANT DID TO HIM OR HER, THAT MAY BE SUFFICIENT FOR THE

COURT TO LOOK AND CONSIDER THAT TO DECIDE WHETHER OR NOT THAT

IN AND OF ITSELF IS PREJUDICIAL WITHOUT THE NECESSITY OF

SAYING, WELL, IS IT ON A DIFFERENT LEVEL? WILL IT BE ENHANCED

BY EXPERT TESTIMONY?

I THINK WHAT I HEAR YOU SAYING IS, WELL, THAT'S FINE,

1 04:09PM 2 04:09PM 3 04:09PM 4 04:09PM 04:09PM 5 6 04:09PM 04:10PM 8 04:10PM 9 04:10PM 10 04:10PM 04:10PM 11 12 04:10PM 13 04:10PM 14 04:10PM 15 04:10PM 16 04:10PM 17 04:10PM 18 04:10PM 19 04:10PM 20 04:10PM 2.1 04:10PM 22 04:10PM 23 04:11PM 24 04:11PM

25

04:11PM

JUDGE, BUT THAT INDIVIDUAL'S TESTIMONY, ALBEIT EVEN IF IT'S A DEFENDANT, MAY NOT BE ADMISSIBLE BECAUSE IT MIGHT NOT HAVE RELEVANCE BY ITSELF UNLESS IT IS SUPPORTED BY AN EXPERT IN REGARDS TO ONE OF THOSE TOPICS THAT I MENTIONED EARLIER, EVEN IF IT IS -- THE EXPERT TESTIMONY IS NOT TO SAY THAT SHE HAS A CONDITION OR HE HAS A CONDITION BUT RATHER -- OR THE TESTIMONY WOULD BE TOWARDS THE CREDIBILITY.

MS. BAEHR-JONES: WELL, IT WOULD BE A THRESHOLD
MATTER, YOUR HONOR, IS THE GOVERNMENT'S POINT. THE FACTS OF
INTIMATE PARTNER ABUSE THAT TOOK PLACE NOT AT THE COMPANY, NOT
RELATING TO THE FRAUD DIRECTLY, NOWHERE NEAR COMMUNICATIONS
THAT WERE MADE TO BOARD MEMBERS OR TO THE MEDIA, THINGS THAT
HAPPENED IN THAT REALM ARE ONLY RELEVANT IF THERE IS AN EXPERT
WHO IS WILLING TO SAY THAT THESE MENTAL CONDITIONS COULD BEAR
ON GUILT AND RIGHT NOW THERE IS NOTHING -- THE DEFENDANTS HAVE
NOT PROVIDED ANYTHING THAT SAYS THAT, AND THAT'S WHY THE
GOVERNMENT IS ASKING FOR A DECLARATION FROM DR. MECHANIC THAT
AT LEAST ESTABLISHES THIS VERY BASIC POINT.

IT DOESN'T HAVE TO BE THE FULL SUMMARY REPORT, BUT JUST SOMETHING THAT ESTABLISHES THAT SO WE CAN QUESTION HER ABOUT IT AND FOR THE COURT TO HAVE THAT INFORMATION, BECAUSE THE GOVERNMENT WILL BE OFFERING, I CAN GUARANTEE WE WILL BE OFFERING SOME SORT OF DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF OUR OPPOSITION THAT PROVIDES SOME TESTIMONY FROM OUR EXPERT FOR THE COURT TO CONSIDER. AND THE GOVERNMENT SUBMITS IT WOULD BE WORTHWHILE TO

04:11PM	1	HAVE A PROCESS WHEREBY BOTH SIDES CAN SUBMIT EVIDENCE TO YOUR
04:11PM	2	HONOR.
04:11PM	3	THE COURT: AND I THINK THAT'S BACK TO MR. DOWNEY'S
04:11PM	4	POINT, WELL, IT SOUNDS LIKE 12.2 HEARING IN REGARDS TO TRIAL
04:11PM	5	TESTIMONY. I THINK THAT'S WHAT I HEARD YOU SAY EARLIER.
04:11PM	6	MR. DOWNEY: THAT'S RIGHT, YOUR HONOR. I MEAN, I
04:11PM	7	THINK, JUST TO BE CLEAR AS TO WHERE WE ARE, IT'S OBVIOUSLY A
04:11PM	8	SOMEWHAT CONFUSING SITUATION. IT'S DIFFICULT.
04:11PM	9	BUT AT THIS POINT THE COURT SHOULDN'T TAKE ANY STEP THAT
04:11PM	10	OBLIGATES MS. HOLMES TO PRESENT HER DEFENSE CASE.
04:11PM	11	THE COURT: AND I'VE BEEN VERY CAREFUL, AND I HOPE
04:11PM	12	I'VE BEEN CAREFUL TO SUGGEST HERE OR STATE SPECIFICALLY HERE
04:11PM	13	THAT I'M NOT ASKING YOU TO REVEAL ANY OF THAT TODAY.
04:11PM	14	MR. DOWNEY: I UNDERSTAND THAT. AND I THINK YOU
04:11PM	15	WOULDN'T DO SO EITHER IN CONNECTION WITH A HEARING.
04:12PM	16	THE QUESTION IS THE COURT OBVIOUSLY HAS TO MAKE A DECISION
04:12PM	17	AS TO LIKELIHOOD, AND WE THINK BASED ON WHAT IS IN FRONT OF THE
04:12PM	18	COURT IT'S IN A POSITION TO DO SO ONE WAY OR THE OTHER.
04:12PM	19	MR. COOPERSMITH: AND, YOUR HONOR, LET ME ADD THAT
04:12PM	20	WHAT THE GOVERNMENT HAS PROPOSED, AGAIN, IT SORT OF SHOVES
04:12PM	21	MR. BALWANI TO THE SIDE AND HIS RIGHTS AND NOT WORRY ABOUT HIM
04:12PM	22	UNTIL MUCH LATER, AND WE APPRECIATE THAT IT SOUNDS LIKE THE
04:12PM	23	BRIEFING SCHEDULE IS GOING TO GET BACK ON TRACK HERE WITH
04:12PM	24	MR. BALWANI'S MOTION.
04:12PM	25	MS. HOLMES'S DEFENSE, YOU KNOW, THAT WILL BE LIKE EXPLORED

1 IN THE FULLNESS OF TIME, BUT THE GOVERNMENT DOESN'T GET TO HOLD 04:12PM MR. BALWANI HOSTAGE WHILE IT LITIGATES WITH MS. HOLMES. 2 04:12PM WE HAVE RIGHTS FOR MR. BALWANI. HE HAS HIS OWN TRIAL 3 04:12PM 4 WE NEED TO GET OUR SEVERANCE MOTION HEARD AND DECIDED, 04:12PM AND I THINK THERE'S GOING TO BE PLENTY TO GO ON GIVEN WHAT 04:12PM 5 DEFENSE IS AND MS. HOLMES HAS ALREADY PUT FORWARD. 6 04:12PM IN FACT, 12.2 ITSELF SAYS THAT IT'S A NOTICE OF EXPERT 04:12PM 8 TESTIMONY RELATING TO A MENTAL ISSUE GOING TO GUILT, AND THAT'S 04:13PM 9 WHAT SHE HAS DONE. 04:13PM AND WE KNOW THEY INTEND TO PRESENT EVIDENCE. MR. DOWNEY 10 04:13PM HAS PROFFERED IN COURT TODAY THAT HE'S GOING TO PUT ON FACT 04:13PM 11 04:13PM 12 WITNESSES, WHETHER IT'S THIRD PARTIES OR MS. HOLMES HERSELF, 13 AND WE HAVE THAT DANGER, AND IT'S SIMPLY NOT POSSIBLE TO WAIT 04:13PM 14 UNTIL TRIAL OR EVEN CLOSE TO TRIAL TO DEAL WITH MR. BALWANI'S 04:13PM 15 MOTION. 04:13PM 16 SO, YOU KNOW, IT SOUNDS LIKE THE BRIEFING IS GOING TO GET 04:13PM 17 BACK ON TRACK AND WITH A LITTLE HICCUP, AND IT SOUNDS LIKE 04:13PM 18 WE'LL ARGUE IT ON FEBRUARY 10TH, BUT I THINK IT WORKS FOR 04:13PM 04:13PM 19 MR. BALWANI TO MAKE SURE WE KNOW WHAT WE'RE FACING. 20 THE COURT: WHAT I HEAR THE GOVERNMENT SAYING, 04:13PM 2.1 THOUGH, IS THAT THIS THRESHOLD QUESTION ABOUT THIS REALLY 04:13PM 22 SHOULD BE TESTED BY AN OPPORTUNITY TO EXAMINE OR TO 04:13PM CROSS-EXAMINE, ET CETERA, ET CETERA, AND I CAPTURE THAT. 23 04:13PM 24 REMIND ME OF THE DATES AGAIN. EXCUSE ME, THE 04:13PM GOVERNMENT -- MR. CAZARES, YOU'RE GOING TO FILE YOUR 25 04:13PM

04:13PM	1	AUGMENTATION, YOUR SUPPLEMENTAL ON BY THE 31ST DID YOU SAY?
04:14PM	2	I AM SORRY.
04:14PM	3	MR. COOPERSMITH: THE 20TH.
04:14PM	4	THE COURT: THE 20TH. THANK YOU.
04:14PM	5	AND THE GOVERNMENT WILL FILE
04:14PM	6	MS. BAEHR-JONES: BY THE 31ST, YOUR HONOR.
04:14PM	7	THE COURT: YOUR OPPOSITION BY THE 31ST. ALL RIGHT.
04:14PM	8	AND THEN WE HAVE OUR HEARING SET FOR THE 10TH AND
04:14PM	9	POSSIBLY THE 11TH. OKAY. WELL, LET'S PROCEED WITH THAT
04:14PM	10	SCHEDULE NOW.
04:14PM	11	MR. LEACH: AND MAYBE I'M BEING DENSE, YOUR HONOR.
04:14PM	12	I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THIS IS MR. BALWANI'S MOTION FOR
04:14PM	13	SEVERANCE, MS. HOLMES'S MOTION FOR SEVERANCE.
04:14PM	14	THE COURT: IT'S DEFERRED. I AM GOING TO DEFER
04:14PM	15	WE'RE GOING TO TAKE MR. BALWANI'S FIRST. I'M DEFERRING
04:14PM	16	MS. HOLMES FOR NOW. I'D LIKE YOU TO FOCUS YOUR ATTENTION, THE
04:14PM	17	PARTIES, TO MR. BALWANI'S MOTION FIRST.
04:14PM	18	MR. LEACH: SO NO OPPOSITION TO THAT IS DUE TODAY,
04:14PM	19	EITHER?
04:14PM	20	THE COURT: CORRECT, TO MS. HOLMES. RIGHT.
04:14PM	21	MS. BAEHR-JONES: AND WITH RESPECT TO THE DISCOVERY
04:14PM	22	REQUEST, THE GOVERNMENT'S DISCOVERY REQUEST FOR <u>JENCKS</u>
04:14PM	23	MATERIALS AND TESTING DATA.
04:14PM	24	THE COURT: IS THAT SOMETHING THAT IS AVAILABLE?
04:14PM	25	MR. DOWNEY: YOUR HONOR, I'M SURE IT'S IN PART

SHE'S NOT YET A WITNESS. WE DON'T KNOW IF SHE'LL 1 AVAILABLE. 04:14PM EVEN BE THE DESIGNATED EXPERT IN CONNECTION WITH THE CASE SO IT 2 04:14PM SEEMS LIKE IT'S PREMATURE TO --3 04:15PM 4 THE COURT: WELL, WHAT I THINK WOULD BE HELPFUL IS 04:15PM 04:15PM 5 IF SHE WISHES TO SIGN HER DECLARATION AS WE'VE SAID, BUT IF SHE 6 COULD ALSO PROVIDE A PAGE OR TWO THAT PROVIDES SOME 04:15PM FOUNDATIONAL WHATEVER, THAT SHE DIDN'T DO IN HER DECLARATION, 04:15PM BUT JUST SOMETHING THAT OFFERS THE COURT SOME ASSISTANCE AS TO 8 04:15PM 9 ANY OPINIONS THAT SHE MIGHT DRAW, I THINK THAT WOULD BE 04:15PM 10 HELPFUL. 04:15PM MY SENSE IS THAT SHE COULD PUT TOGETHER THREE OR FOUR 04:15PM 11 12 PARAGRAPHS THAT SUGGEST THAT. 04:15PM 13 MR. DOWNEY: LET ME JUST GET CLARITY. SHE MAY WELL 04:15PM 14 BE ABLE TO. 04:15PM SHE HAS STATED AT SOME LENGTH THE PROCEDURES FOR THE BASIS 15 04:15PM 16 OF THE OPINION. IS THE COURT SUGGESTING THAT SHE WOULD NOW 04:15PM 17 PREVIEW THE TRIAL OPINIONS SHE MIGHT OFFER? 04:15PM 18 THE COURT: NO. NO, NO, I'M NOT ASKING HER TO 04:15PM 04:15PM 19 DO THAT. 20 BUT WHEN I LOOK AT HER DECLARATION, SHE TALKS ABOUT THE 04:15PM 21 HISTORY AS SHE UNDERSTANDS IT, AND THEN SHE GIVES US LITERATURE 04:15PM 22 AND HISTORICAL LITERATURE THAT SUPPORT THE EXISTENCE OF THIS 04:16PM CONDITION AND OTHERS AND THOSE TYPES OF THINGS. 23 04:16PM 24 BUT IF SHE WERE TO PROVIDE SOMETHING, A STATEMENT OF SOME 04:16PM 25 SORT THAT WOULD GIVE US ADDITIONAL, GIVE ME ADDITIONAL 04:16PM

1 INFORMATION ABOUT, NOT NECESSARILY WHAT HER OPINION WOULD BE, 04:16PM BUT WHAT ALL OF THAT WOULD MEAN AS FAR AS A FINDING OF A 2 04:16PM 3 CONDITION OR NOT. 04:16PM 4 I'M BEING -- I'M NOT BEING VERY ARTICULATE HERE. I DON'T 04:16PM WANT HER TO WRITE THE WHOLE REPORT HERE BY FEBRUARY 10TH. 04:16PM 5 6 DON'T THINK SHE CAN DO THAT BASED ON WHAT YOU'VE TOLD ME, BUT I 04:16PM THINK THERE'S SOMETHING SUPPLEMENTAL. 04:16PM I GUESS WHAT I'M SAYING IS THAT THIS IS GOOD FOR A NOTICE, 8 04:16PM 9 I SUPPOSE, BUT WHAT ELSE CAN SHE OFFER TO STRENGTHEN THE 04:16PM NOTICE? I GUESS I'LL PUT IT THAT WAY. 10 04:16PM 04:16PM 11 MR. DOWNEY: THE ONLY DIFFICULTY WITH THAT IS, YOUR 04:17PM 12 HONOR, IS THAT THERE'S A -- I'M NOT SURE HOW MUCH ROOM THERE 13 REALLY IS BETWEEN WHAT SHE WOULD OFFER AT TRIAL AND WHAT 04:17PM 14 THAT -- THE SUBMISSION THE COURT IS ASKING FOR. 04:17PM 15 IF, IF THE COURT IS ASKING FOR HER DIAGNOSIS OF 04:17PM 16 MS. HOLMES, I THINK THAT IS SOMETHING THAT SHE CAN DO AT LEAST 04:17PM 17 AS TO PRESENT CONDITION. I THINK SHE HAS DONE THAT TO SOME 04:17PM 04:17PM 18 EXTENT. 04:17PM 19 I'M NOT SURE WHETHER THE COURT IS SAYING SHE SHOULD GIVE 20 THE SAME TYPE OF DIAGNOSIS AS TO HER STATE OF MIND DURING THE 04:17PM 21 PERIOD OF THE OFFENSES OR WHAT ELSE SHORT OF EFFECTIVELY 04:17PM PREPARING A REPORT SHE COULD DO. 22 04:17PM MS. BAEHR-JONES: WELL, YOUR HONOR, IF I COULD MAKE 23 04:17PM 24 A SUGGESTION. I'VE RECEIVED 12.2(B) NOTICES IN THE PAST AND 04:17PM THEY ALWAYS INCLUDE SOME STATEMENT ABOUT THE EXPERT OPINING 25 04:17PM

1 04:17PM 2 04:18PM 3 04:18PM 04:18PM 4 5 04:18PM 6 04:18PM 04:18PM 8 04:18PM 9 04:18PM 10 04:18PM 04:18PM 11 04:18PM 12 13 04:18PM 14 04:18PM 15 04:18PM 16 04:19PM 17 04:19PM 18 04:19PM 04:19PM 19 20 04:19PM 2.1 04:19PM 22 04:19PM 23 04:19PM 24 04:19PM 25 04:19PM

THAT THIS -- THESE DEFICIENCIES, THESE ILLNESSES, THE MENTAL ILLNESSES, AFFECTED MENS REA TO SOME EXTENT. THEY DON'T HAVE TO GIVE THE FULL OPINION, THE FULL REPORT, BUT THEY AT LEAST HAVE TO ASSERT THE BASIC -- THAT THEY WILL HAVE THAT OPINION.

THE COURT: WELL, THE ISSUE HERE, MS. BAEHR-JONES,
IS THAT THIS REPORT IS PREPARED FOR THE PURPOSES OF A SEVERANCE
MOTION, AND IT TALKS ABOUT MS. HOLMES'S INABILITY TO SIT FOR
TRIAL FOR THE REASONS STATED. WHAT IS IT? PTSD.

I THOUGHT I SAW SOMETHING IN THERE, AND I WAS REVIEWING

IT, BUT I THINK I WAS WRONG. THERE ISN'T ANYTHING IN HERE THAT

REALLY SPEAKS SPECIFICALLY TO MENS REA.

MR. DOWNEY: SHE HASN'T NOT COMMENTED BECAUSE IT'S NOT REALLY GERMANE TO OUR MOTION. SHE HAS NOT COMMENTED ON THE TYPES OF ISSUES.

THE COURT: WHAT IS GERMANE ARE THE ALLEGATIONS HERE ABOUT, AT LEAST THAT SHE REPORTS, AND I PRESUME THAT SHE RECEIVED THAT INFORMATION FROM THE DEFENSE SOMEWHERE, EITHER THE DEFENDANT OR THE DEFENSE IN SOME MANNER. AND THEN WE TIE THAT TOGETHER TO THE REPRESENTATION THAT THERE'S A HIGH LIKELIHOOD -- I FORGET WHAT THE TERM WAS -- BUT THAT THERE'S GOING TO BE EVIDENCE.

1 YOUR POSITION IS THAT, WELL, THAT HAS TO BE TESTED THEN, 04:19PM 2 BECAUSE IT MIGHT NOT BE ADMISSIBLE. 04:19PM MS. BAEHR-JONES: WELL, YOUR HONOR, I THINK IT'S 3 04:19PM 4 UNFAIR FOR THE GOVERNMENT NOT TO HAVE SOMETHING TO RESPOND TO, 04:19PM AND THE DEFENSE WANTS TO HAVE IT BOTH WAYS. THEY WANT TO SAY 04:19PM 5 HER DECLARATION DOES NOT DEAL WITH 12.2, IT'S SOLELY ABOUT WHAT 6 04:19PM IS CURRENTLY HAPPENING. 04:19PM AND THEN THEY ALSO WANT TO SAY ON THE BASIS OF THIS 8 04:19PM DECLARATION THE COURT SHOULD FIND THAT THERE'S A LIKELIHOOD 9 04:19PM THAT THIS 12.2(B) DEFENSE WILL COME IN AND THAT'S A FACT. THE 10 04:19PM FACT WITNESSES WILL COME IN AND TESTIFY IN SUPPORT OF THAT 04:20PM 11 12 DEFENSE AND MAKE A PRETTY DECISIVE FINAL DETERMINATION THAT 04:20PM 13 THESE TWO DEFENDANTS SHOULD BE SEVERED FROM EACH OTHER. 04:20PM 14 SO IT'S ONLY FAIR TO THE GOVERNMENT THAT WE BE ABLE TO 04:20PM RESPOND TO THE APPROPRIATE DECLARATION. 15 04:20PM THE COURT: OKAY. I THINK I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU'RE 16 04:20PM 17 SAYING HERE. 04:20PM 18 WELL, LET'S -- I'M NOT GOING TO ASK THAT -- AS I SUGGESTED 04:20PM 04:20PM 19 TO YOU, IF SHE CAN SIGN THIS. AND IF SHE CAN, DO ANOTHER 20 SUMMARY, ANOTHER PARAGRAPH 18, PERHAPS PARAGRAPH 19 THAT 04:20PM 2.1 PERHAPS ENHANCES HER FINDINGS, HER OBSERVATIONS, WHATEVER THAT 04:20PM 22 MIGHT BE. I THINK THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL FOR ALL OF US. 04:20PM IF THAT COULD BE DONE BY THE END OF THE WEEK, THAT WOULD 23 04:20PM 24 BE HELPFUL, TOO. 04:20PM MR. DOWNEY: WE'LL CERTAINLY GET THE SIGNED 25 04:20PM

04:20PM	1	DECLARATION IN, AND WE'LL EVALUATE WHETHER SHE'S ABLE TO DO
04:20PM	2	WHAT THE COURT IS NOW IDENTIFYING.
04:20PM	3	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
04:20PM	4	AND AGAIN, THE PROCESS FOR THE HEARING? SOME OF THE
04:21PM	5	MOTIONS DON'T NEED TO BE I THINK IN A SEALED PROCEEDING, YOU
04:21PM	6	KNOW, THE MOTION FOR BILL OF PARTICULARS AND THOSE THINGS.
04:21PM	7	WHAT ARE YOUR THOUGHTS ABOUT HOW ARE WE GOING TO PARSE OUT
04:21PM	8	THE THINGS THAT ARE GOING TO BE SEALED AND WHAT ARE NOT?
04:21PM	9	MR. DOWNEY: I THINK THE MOTION TO SEVER, I DON'T
04:21PM	10	THINK THERE'S A SPEAKING FOR MS. HOLMES, I DON'T BELIEVE
04:21PM	11	THERE'S A NEED TO SEAL THOSE HEARINGS. I THINK THAT'S CORRECT
04:21PM	12	AND MR. BALWANI'S COUNSEL IS INDICATING
04:21PM	13	MR. CAZARES: WE AGREE, YOUR HONOR.
04:21PM	14	MR. DOWNEY: THEY AGREE.
04:21PM	15	THE COURT: OKAY.
04:21PM	16	MR. DOWNEY: SO WE MIGHT SUGGEST THAT WE DO THOSE IN
04:21PM	17	THE MORNING AND THE SEVERANCE IN THE AFTERNOON.
04:21PM	18	THE COURT: THAT MAKES SENSE. LET'S PROCEED THAT
04:21PM	19	WAY.
04:21PM	20	OKAY. ANYTHING FURTHER BEFORE WE BREAK FOR THE DAY?
04:21PM	21	MR. DOWNEY: NOT FOR MS. HOLMES.
04:21PM	22	THE COURT: MR. LEACH.
04:21PM	23	MR. LEACH: ONE POINT, YOUR HONOR. I DON'T WANT TO
04:21PM	24	ARGUE THE MERITS OF THE SEALING, BUT I DO WANT TO RAISE THE
04:22PM	25	ISSUE THAT SOME OF THE WHOLESALE SEALING OF THESE DOCUMENTS IS

04:22PM	1	LIMITING THE GOVERNMENT'S ABILITY TO EFFECTIVELY INVESTIGATE
04:22PM	2	SOME OF THE ALLEGATIONS THAT HAVE BEEN RAISED.
04:22PM	3	
04:22PM	4	
04:22PM	5	
04:22PM	6	THIS IS AN ISSUE THAT
04:22PM	7	MAY COME UP WITH WITNESSES. ORDINARILY IN THE COURSE OF OUR
04:22PM	8	INTERVIEWS WE WOULD ASK WITNESSES TO DESCRIBE THE RELATIONSHIP
04:22PM	9	BETWEEN MS. HOLMES AND MR. BALWANI.
04:22PM	10	I'M NOT SUGGESTING REVEALING THE CONTENT OF ANYTHING THAT
04:22PM	11	HAS BEEN SEALED, BUT THESE ARE MATTERS THAT THE GOVERNMENT
04:22PM	12	NEEDS TO INVESTIGATE FOR BOTH DEFENDANTS. AND I JUST WANT TO
04:22PM	13	FLAG THIS FOR THE COURT THAT THERE'S AN INVESTIGATION THAT HAS
04:22PM	14	TO HAPPEN HERE, AND WE NEED TO ASK QUESTIONS. AND THE MORE
04:22PM	15	DOCUMENTS THAT ARE SEALED, THE GREATER THE LIMITS ON OUR
04:22PM	16	ABILITY ARE.
04:22PM	17	THE COURT:
04:23PM	18	
04:23PM	19	
04:23PM	20	MR. LEACH:
04:23PM	21	
04:23PM	22	THE COURT: RIGHT. THEY HAVE JURISDICTION OVER
04:23PM	23	STANFORD.
04:23PM	24	MR. DOWNEY: RIGHT. WE HAVE NO ISSUE WITH THEM
04:23PM	25	REACHING OUT TO DISCUSS THAT

1 MR. COOPERSMITH: YOUR HONOR, I DON'T THINK THE 04:23PM SEALING ORDER WOULD APPLY TO THE GOVERNMENT GETTING 2 04:23PM 3 04:23PM 4 I MEAN, OBVIOUSLY THEY'RE NOT FREE TO TELL THE LOCAL 04:23PM POLICE THAT THERE'S A MOTION ON FILE AND THIS IS THE REASON WHY 04:23PM 5 THEY'RE GETTING IT, BUT THEY CAN CERTAINLY GET WHATEVER 04:23PM THEY WANT. SO I DON'T THINK THAT'S REALLY AN ISSUE IN 04:23PM THE SEALING. 8 04:23PM 9 THE OTHER THING I WANT TO ASK THE COURT, JUST SO WE CAN 04:23PM 10 MAYBE DISPENSE, AND I THINK THE COURT SAID THIS ALREADY BUT 04:23PM 04:23PM 11 JUST TO BE CLEAR, WHEN WE FILE PAPERS AND UNLESS AND UNTIL THE 12 COURT RULES OTHERWISE, WHILE WE'RE OPERATING PROVISIONALLY 04:23PM 13 UNDER SEAL, CAN THE PARTIES SIMPLY FILE PAPERS RELATING TO 04:23PM 14 THESE 12.2 ISSUES AND THE ABUSE ISSUES UNDER SEAL WITHOUT THE 04:23PM ADMINISTRATIVE MOTIONS THAT HAVE BEEN FILED SO FAR? 15 04:24PM THE COURT: WELL, I REALLY WANT TO AVOID THE 16 04:24PM 17 OPPOSITIONS AND THIS AND THAT AND CREATING MORE PAPERWORK AND 04:24PM 18 PROBLEMS FOR THE PARTIES, AND FOR THE COURT, CANDIDLY, AND THE 04:24PM 04:24PM 19 CLERK'S OFFICE. 20 IF, MR. LEACH, YOU WOULD STATE YOUR OBJECTION ON THE 04:24PM 21 RECORD, YOUR TEAM'S OBJECTION TO SEALING WHOLESALE -- AND I 04:24PM 22 DON'T WANT TO GRANT WHOLESALE SEALING BECAUSE I DON'T WANT TO 04:24PM LET THE CAMEL'S NOSE IN THE TENT AND HAVE EVERYTHING UNDER 23 04:24PM 24 SEAL. BUT IF I LIMIT THIS TO THIS SEVERANCE MOTION AND 04:24PM 25 ANYTHING FILED, AND I KNOW WE'RE GOING TO GET SOME PLEADINGS 04:24PM

1 FILED, AND I WILL PERMIT THOSE TO BE FILED UNDER SEAL NOTING 04:24PM YOUR STRONG OBJECTION TO THE SEALING, WOULD THAT SUFFICE SO WE 2 04:24PM DON'T HAVE TO GO THROUGH? 3 04:24PM 4 MR. LEACH: I CERTAINLY DON'T WANT THE 04:24PM 5 ADMINISTRATIVE BURDEN OF AN OPPOSITION EVERY TIME, YOUR HONOR. 04:24PM I CAN'T CONSENT IN ADVANCE TO SOMETHING I'VE NEVER SEEN SO I 6 04:24PM DON'T KNOW WHAT TO SAY TO THAT. 04:25PM BUT I -- SO I DON'T WANT TO BURDEN THE COURT 8 04:25PM 9 ADMINISTRATIVELY. I DO WANT TO MAKE A RECORD THAT WE OBJECT TO 04:25PM 10 THE WHOLESALE SEALING, AND WE THINK THERE ARE LARGE SWATHS OF 04:25PM THE MOTION TO SEVER AND THE 12.2 NOTICE THAT COULD BE MADE 04:25PM 11 12 PUBLIC, THAT SHOULD BE MADE PUBLIC, AND I DON'T WANT TO BURDEN 04:25PM 13 THE COURT ADMINISTRATIVELY. 04:25PM 14 THE COURT: WELL, I APPRECIATE THAT. THANK YOU. 04:25PM SO JUST LIMITED TO THESE, TO THESE MOTIONS THAT WE'VE 15 04:25PM 16 TALKED ABOUT TODAY, THE SEVERANCE, WHETHER OR NOT THE 12.2(B) 04:25PM 17 IS GOING TO COME INTO THAT, I'LL PERMIT THOSE TO BE FILED UNDER 04:25PM SEAL WITHOUT NEED OF AN ADMINISTRATIVE BACK AND FORTH, NOTING 18 04:25PM 04:25PM 19 THAT THE GOVERNMENT VEHEMENTLY OBJECTS TO THAT PROCESS. 20 MR. LEACH: AND, YOUR HONOR, I WOULD HOPE AFTER THE 04:25PM 2.1 HEARING, AFTER THIS ISSUE OF IS THIS PREJUDICE SO GREAT THAT WE 04:25PM 22 CAN'T FIND 12 JURORS IN A JURISDICTION OF 15 MILLION OR MORE 04:25PM PEOPLE TO SIT IN THIS CASE, THAT THE COURT WILL HAVE ADDITIONAL 23 04:25PM 24 THOUGHTS AND GUIDANCE ABOUT HOW TO NAVIGATE THIS ISSUE. 04:26PM 25 THE COURT: YOU KNOW, SURPRISING OR NOT, I DON'T 04:26PM

04:26PM	1	THINK EVERYONE HAS A TELEVISION OR HAS INTERNET OR SOME PEOPLE
04:26PM	2	STILL READ HARD COPY NEWSPAPERS AND SOME PEOPLE ONLY DO THAT
04:26PM	3	ONCE A MONTH, SO YOU KNOW.
04:26PM	4	MR. LEACH: MY KIDS REMIND ME OF THAT EVERY DAY.
04:26PM	5	THE COURT: SOME PEOPLE STILL USE PAPER CALENDARS.
04:26PM	6	(LAUGHTER.)
04:26PM	7	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. ANYTHING FURTHER?
04:26PM	8	MR. CAZARES: NOTHING FROM US, YOUR HONOR.
04:26PM	9	MR. DOWNEY: NOTHING FOR MS. HOLMES.
04:26PM	10	MR. LEACH: NO, YOUR HONOR.
04:26PM	11	MR. COOPERSMITH: NO.
04:26PM	12	THE COURT: THANK YOU FOR DELAYING YOUR TRAVEL,
04:26PM	13	THOSE OF YOU WHO TRAVELLED, TO ALLOW THIS CONVERSATION, IT WAS
04:26PM	14	VERY HELPFUL. I APPRECIATE IT.
04:26PM	15	MR. DOWNEY: WE APPRECIATE IT, YOUR HONOR. THANK
04:26PM	16	YOU.
04:26PM	17	MR. CAZARES: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
04:26PM	18	MR. BROWN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
04:26PM	19	(COURT CONCLUDED AT 4:26 P.M.)
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	

1	
2	
3	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
4	
5	
6	
7	I, THE UNDERSIGNED OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER OF THE UNITED
8	STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA,
9	280 SOUTH FIRST STREET, SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA, DO HEREBY
10	CERTIFY:
11	THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT, CERTIFICATE INCLUSIVE, IS
12	A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS IN THE
13	ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER.
14	Orene Rodriguez
15	Calair 1 your Ard
16	IRENE RODRIGUEZ, CSR, RMR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 8074
17	
18	DATED: JANUARY 22, 2020
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	